

The Work of the Court of Appeals: a Statistical Miscellany

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/mlr>



Part of the [Courts Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

The Work of the Court of Appeals: a Statistical Miscellany, 46 Md. L. Rev. 891 (1987)

Available at: <http://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/mlr/vol46/iss3/7>

This Casenotes and Comments is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Journals at DigitalCommons@UM Carey Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Maryland Law Review by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UM Carey Law. For more information, please contact smccarty@law.umaryland.edu.

THE WORK OF THE COURT OF APPEALS:
A STATISTICAL MISCELLANY*

July 1, 1985 through
June 30, 1986¹

- Table I: Source of Cases
 A. Procedural Source
 B. County of Origin
- Table II: The Court of Special Appeals in The Court of Appeals
 A. Opinions of the Court of Special Appeals
 B. Reported Opinions of the Court of Special Appeals
- Table III: Action of Judges
- Table IV: Frequency of Separate Opinions
- Table V: Judicial Persuasiveness
- Table VI: Voting Alignment
 A. All Cases
 B. Most Aligned—Least Aligned
 C. Swing Votes
- Table VII: Primary Subject Matter of Opinions

* Tables prepared by Rochelle Block and Jeffrey Laynor, Assistant Editors of the *Maryland Law Review*. These tables follow the format used in Reynolds, *The Court of Appeals of Maryland: Rules, Work and Performance—Part I*, 37 MD. L. REV. 1, 40-60 (1977) (September 1975 Term); *The Work of the Court of Appeals: A Statistical Miscellany*, 39 MD. L. REV. 646 (1980) (September 1978 Term); 41 MD. L. REV. 554 (1982) (September 1980 Term); 42 MD. L. REV. 610 (1982) (September 1981 Term); 43 MD. L. REV. 863 (1983) (September 1982 Term); 44 MD. L. REV. 715 (1985) (September 1983 Term); 45 MD. L. REV. 1071 (1986) (September 1984 Term). Data from prior years were compiled on a calendar year basis. This version, however, coincides with the decisions reviewed in the *Survey of Maryland Law*, which results in a six-month overlap with the previous *Statistical Miscellany*. Unless otherwise noted, figures from these tables may be compared to figures in the earlier tables. Comparable figures for the September 1957 through September 1963 Terms are found in *Special Report of the Committee on Judicial Administration of the Maryland State Bar Association*, reprinted in 1 Md. App. vii, xxv-xxx (1967).

1. Throughout these tables, unless otherwise noted, the data include all published opinions of the Court of Appeals issued between July 1, 1985, and June 30, 1986, inclusive. These tables, unlike some previous tables, include per curiam opinions (excluding voluntary dismissals and writs of certiorari dismissed as improvidently granted). Separately captioned cases consolidated and disposed of by the court in a single decision are treated as separate cases in Tables IA, IB, IIA, and IIB. All other tables treat such a decision as a single case. Consolidated cases are included throughout if one of the cases consolidated was heard between July 1, 1985 and June 30, 1986.

TABLE I
SOURCE OF CASES
A. PROCEDURAL SOURCE

	Number	Percentage
WRIT OF CERTIORARI		
To the Court of Special Appeals		
Decided in the Court of Special Appeals		
Reported	30	
Unreported	25	
Total	55	38.2
Expedited to Court of Appeals	40	27.8
To Circuit Courts	6	4.2
DIRECT APPEALS FROM CIRCUIT COURT	13	9.0
CERTIFIED QUESTIONS FROM FEDERAL COURT	6	4.2
CERTIFIED QUESTIONS FROM COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS	1	0.7
PROFESSIONAL SUPERVISION	<u>23</u>	<u>16.0</u>
TOTAL	144	100.1

B. COUNTY OF ORIGIN

COUNTY	NO. OF CASES	POPULATION ²	PCT. OF CASES	PCT. OF POPULATION
Allegany	2	80,548	1.5	1.9
Anne Arundel	9	370,775	6.0	8.8
Baltimore	18	655,615	13.6	15.5
Calvert	3	34,638	2.3	.8
Caroline	0	23,143	—	.5
Carroll	1	96,356	.8	2.3
Cecil	2	60,430	1.5	1.4
Charles	2	72,751	1.5	1.7
Dorchester	1	30,623	.8	.7
Frederick	2	114,263	1.5	2.7
Garrett	1	26,498	.8	.6
Harford	3	145,930	2.3	3.5
Howard	4	118,572	3.0	2.8
Kent	4	16,695	3.0	.4
Montgomery	14	579,053	10.6	13.7
Prince George's	19	665,071	14.4	15.8
Queen Anne's	0	25,508	—	.6
St. Mary's	1	59,895	.8	1.4
Somerset	2	19,188	1.5	.5
Talbot	0	25,604	—	.6
Washington	4	113,086	3.0	2.7
Wicomico	2	64,540	1.5	1.5
Worcester	2	30,889	1.5	.7
Baltimore City	36	786,775	27.3	18.7
Total	132 ³	4,216,446	100.0	99.8

2. Population figures reflect population as of April 1, 1980. The statistics are taken from U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, VOLUME 1 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE POPULATION, CHAPTER B GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, PART 22 MARYLAND, PC 80-1-B22 (Aug. 1982).

3. Figure does not include six professional supervision cases or six certified questions from federal court.

TABLE II
THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS IN
THE COURT OF APPEALS⁴
A. OPINIONS OF THE COURT OF
SPECIAL APPEALS

	Number	Percentage
Unreported		
Affirmed	5	20.0
Reversed	19	76.0
Affirmed in Part/ Reversed in Part	1	4.0
Total	25	100.0
Reported		
Affirmed	21	70.0
Reversed	6	20.0
Affirmed in Part/ Reversed in Part	3	10.0
Total	30	100.0
Total		
Affirmed	26	47.3
Reversed	25	45.4
Affirmed in Part/ Reversed in Part	4	7.3
Total ⁵	55	100.0

4. In these tables, a decision has been designated as "affirmed" or "reversed" if that is the label placed upon it by the Court of Appeals. The "reversed" column also includes decisions that were "modified," "vacated," or "remanded" either wholly or in part.

"Affirmed" and "reversed" are fairly crude labels. A decision may be "affirmed," for example, even if the reviewing court thought the grounds given by the lower court to support the decision below were completely wrong. Nevertheless, the terms serve as rough indicators of possible trends or problems.

5. Total of reported and unreported opinions in Table II does not include cases in which the Court of Appeals dismissed the writ of certiorari as improvidently granted.

B. REPORTED OPINIONS OF THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS⁶

	MAJORITY				CONCURRENCE				DISSENT			
	Authored		Joined		Authored		Joined		Authored		Joined	
	Aff'd	Rev'd	Aff'd	Rev'd	Aff'd	Rev'd	Aff'd	Rev'd	Aff'd	Rev'd	Aff'd	Rev'd
Adkins			3	1	1							
Alpert			3	1								
Bell, R.B.	1			4								
Bell, R.M.			1		1							
Bishop	6	3	4	1								
Bloom	1	1	3	1								
Garrity	3		2	1								
Getty	2		6	1								
Gilbert	5	3	2									
Karwacki	1		2									
Liss	1	2	2									
Moylan	1		3	3								
Weant			2	1								
Wilner			5	3								
Specially Assigned			2	1								
Total	21	9	40	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

6. See *supra* note 4. The "Reversed" column includes three decisions that were "Affirmed in Part and Reversed in Part" and decisions that were "Vacated and Remanded."

TABLE III
ACTION OF JUDGES

JUDGE	AUTHORED				JOINED ⁷	
	OPIN. OF COURT	(PCT.) ⁸	CONCURRENCE	DISSENT ⁹	OPIN. OF COURT ¹⁰	CON. DIS.
COLE	15	(10.6)	3	3	112	1 5
COUCH	18	(12.7)	0	0	103	0 3
ELDRIDGE	14	(9.9)	4	10	101	0 5
McAULIFFE	12	(8.4)	3	7	94	0 1
MURPHY	19	(13.4)	2	1	95	0 3
RODOWSKY	19	(13.4)	3	2	113	1 1
SMITH	20	(14.1)	0	2	112	0 2
Specially Assigned	8	(5.6)	0	0	48	0 1
Per Curiam ¹¹	17	(12.0)	—	—	—	— —
Total	142 ¹²	(100.1)	15	25		

7. Judges participating in a per curiam decision are listed as joining the opinion of the court. A concurrence or dissent by a judge who does not publish an opinion is treated nonetheless as a concurrence or dissent.

8. The parenthetical figures in this column are the percentages of signed opinions of the court authored by each judge.

9. Opinions designated by their authors as "Concurring in Part and Dissenting in Part" are treated as joining dissenting opinions.

10. This total does not include three orders that failed to mention the issuing judges.

11. "Per Curiam" includes per curiam opinions and orders published without a signed opinion. Dismissals of writs of certiorari as improvidently granted and voluntary dismissals are not included.

12. Cases consolidated on appeal, in which the court issued a single opinion disposing of more than one case, are treated as a single opinion in this and all subsequent tables.

TABLE IV
FREQUENCY OF SEPARATE OPINIONS

The Court	Number	Percentage
Unanimous Opinions	109	76.8
Decisions With Concurring Opinions	11	7.7
Decisions With Dissenting Opinions	17	12.0
Decisions With Both Concurring Opinions and Dissenting Opinions	2	1.4
Decisions Concurring in Part and Dissenting in Part	3	2.1
	<u>142</u>	<u>100.0</u>

TABLE V
JUDICIAL PERSUASIVENESS

Author of the Opinion of the Court	Unanimous Opinions	Opinions with Concurrences	Opinions with Dissent(s)	Opinions with Both	Opinions with pt. concurrency/ pt. dissent
Cole	12 (80.0)	2 (13.3)	1 (6.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Couch	14 (77.8)	2 (11.1)	1 (5.6)	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)
Eldridge	8 (57.1)	2 (14.3)	3 (21.4)	0 (0.0)	1 (7.1)
McAuliffe	10 (83.3)	1 (8.3)	1 (8.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Murphy	14 (73.7)	3 (15.8)	1 (5.3)	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)
Rodowsky	15 (78.9)	1 (5.3)	2 (10.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)
Smith	16 (80.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Specially Assigned	4 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (37.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (12.5)
Per Curiam	16 (94.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

TABLE VI
 VOTING ALIGNMENT
 (Figures are Percentages)
 A. ALL CASES¹³

		COUCH	ELDRIDGE	McAULIFFE	MURPHY	RODOWSKY	SMITH	SPECIALLY ASSIGNED
Cole	M	91.1	84.2	86.3	85.0	87.0	87.6	87.9
	S	.8	5.3	1.7	—	—	—	1.7
	R	3.2	4.5	5.1	5.0	5.0	2.9	3.4
	D	4.8	6.0	6.8	10.0	7.9	9.5	6.9
Couch	M		89.8	88.7	91.4	93.5	95.9	100.0
	S		2.5	—	—	—	1.6	—
	R		2.5	1.9	1.9	1.6	—	—
	D		5.1	9.4	6.7	4.8	2.5	—
Eldridge	M			80.2	80.0	83.5	85.5	81.5
	S			1.8	.8	.7	3.0	1.8
	R			6.3	5.2	4.5	3.0	3.7
	D			11.7	13.9	11.3	8.4	13.0
McAuliffe	M				89.0	89.7	87.9	84.8
	S				3.0	.8	.9	—
	R				3.0	3.4	2.6	3.0
	D				5.0	6.0	8.6	12.1
Murphy	M					91.7	93.2	91.9
	S					.8	.8	—
	R					4.2	1.7	—
	D					3.3	4.2	8.1
Rodowsky	M						91.2	89.7
	S						—	—
	R						2.9	3.4
	D						5.8	6.9
Smith	M							94.5
	S							—
	R							—
	D							5.5

13. Key: M—The two judges joined in the *majority opinion*. One may have authored it.
 S—The two judges joined in a separate opinion, either a concurrence or a dissent. One may have authored it.
 R—The two judges joined in the result, but in different opinions.
 D—The two judges *disagreed* in the result.

This table includes all cases, whether signed opinion or per curiam (except voluntary dismissals, and writs of certiorari dismissed as improvidently granted, and three orders that failed to mention the issuing judges). Due to rounding errors, the scans may not total 100%.

TABLE VI (continued)
 B. MOST ALIGNED—LEAST ALIGNED¹⁴

Most Aligned	M/S/R	D
Couch/Smith	97.5	2.5
Murphy/Rodowsky	96.7	3.3
Murphy/Smith	95.8	4.2
Couch/Rodowsky	95.2	4.8
Cole/Couch	95.2	4.8
Least Aligned		
Eldridge/Murphy	86.1	13.9
Eldridge/McAuliffe	88.3	11.7
Eldridge/Rodowsky	88.7	11.3
Cole/Murphy	90.0	10.0
Cole/Smith	90.5	9.5

C. SWING VOTES

*Number of Swing Votes*¹⁵

Cole	3
Couch	4
Eldridge	0
McAuliffe	4
Murphy	7
Rodowsky	8
Smith	4
Specially Assigned	1

Voting Combinations in Swing Vote Opinions

Murphy, Smith, Rodowsky, Couch	3
Murphy, Cole, Rodowsky, McAuliffe	2
Smith, Murphy, Rodowsky, McAuliffe	1
Cole, Rodowsky, Couch, McAuliffe	1
Smith, Rodowsky, Murphy, Specially Assigned	1

14. Figures used in this table are from Table VI.A. The "Most Aligned" table presents the five most aligned pairs of judges; the pairs are arranged in descending order according to the combined "M," "S," and "R" percentages. Conversely, the "Least Aligned" table presents the five least aligned pairs.

15. A "swing vote" is cast by each judge in the majority in a 4-3 case.

TABLE VII
PRIMARY SUBJECT MATTER OF OPINIONS

	<i>Number of Opinions</i>
A. Public Law	
Criminal	
Constitutional Issues (federal and/or state)	9
Evidentiary	6
Procedural (non-Constitutional)	12
Substantive	13
Civil	
Administrative	7
Antitrust	0
Constitutional	
Federal	0
State	1
Consumer Law	1
Health Care	1
Municipal Law	0
Real Property	
Eminent Domain	1
Zoning	1
Taxation	1
Other	6
B. Private Law	
Procedural	
Appellate	3
Pre-Trial and Trial	7
Substantive	
Commercial	2
Contracts	3
Corporations	1
Custody/Domestic Relations	6
Insurance	7
Labor (including unemployment and worker's compensation)	5
Property (not including eminent domain and zoning)	3
Torts	15
Wills/Estates/Trusts	1
Other	1
C. Unknown	6
	119
D. Professional Questions	
Reinstatement	0
Discipline	22
Admission to Bar	1
	23

Survey Table of Cases

Maryland cases from the period June 1985 to June 1986 that are discussed in the *Survey* are indexed below.

CASES

	Page	Page
5500 Coastal Highway Limited Partnership v. Electrical Equipment Co.	817	
Adams v. Western Electric Co.	569	
Adcock v. State	706	
Alfred Munzer, M.D., P.A. v. Ramsey	789	
Allied Bail Bonds v. State	821	
Allstate Insurance Co. v. Sparks	639	
Alston v. Gray	815	
Amalgamated Transit Union, Division 1300 v. Mass Transit Administration . . .	556	
American Recovery Co. v. Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	544	
Anaweck v. State	724	
Anderson v. Bimblich	572	
Archer v. Archer	741	
Arundel Federal Savings and Loan Association v. Lawrence	631	
Attorney Grievance Commission v. Jacob	580	
Attorney Grievance Commission v. Kemp	576	
Attorney Grievance Commission v. Newman	583	
Attorney Grievance Commission v. Osburn	580	
Attorney Grievance Commission v. Parker	577	
Attorney Grievance Commission v. Shaffer	581	
Attorney Grievance Commission v. Sinclair	575	
Bailey v. State	707	
Bailey v. State	669, 732	
Bailey v. Stouter	587	
Balducci v. Eberly	600, 621	
Baltimore County v. Batza	802	
Baltimore County v. Penn	545	
Baltimore Gas and Electric Co. v. Public Service Commission	547	
Barter Systems, Inc. v. Rosner	631	
Bates v. State	691	
Battista v. Savings Bank of Baltimore	628	
Bethlehem Steel Corp. v. G.C. Zarnas & Co.	609	
Blandon v. State	715	
Board of Education of Dorchester County v. Hubbard	553	
Booth v. State	729	
Booth Glass Co. v. Huntingfield Corp.	877	
Boucher v. Shomber	765	
Bowers v. State	699	
Branch v. State	690	
Brandon v. Brandon	750	
Brannon v. State Roads Commission	806	
Brent v. State	711	
Bridges v. Nicely	773	
Browning-Ferris, Inc. v. Baltimore County	554	
Bryan v. State	718	
Burning Tree Club v. Bainum	647	

Cable v. State	692
Cain v. State	731
Campbell v. State	712
Campolattaro v. Campolattaro	739
Carsey v. Carsey	747
Cason v. State	711, 723
Casson v. Swogell	813
Central GMC, Inc. v. Helms	622
Century I Condominium Association, Inc. v. Plaza Condominium Joint Venture	605
Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. v. Public Service Commission	550
City of Baltimore v. Burke	583
Comptroller of the Treasury v. Brand Iron, Inc.	552, 831
Comptroller of the Treasury v. Fairchild Industries, Inc.	827
Comptroller of the Treasury v. Shell Oil Co.	825
Comptroller of the Treasury v. Washington National Arena Limited Partnership	836
Comptroller of the Treasury v. Worldbook Childcraft International, Inc.	829
Coner v. Morris S. Berman Unlimited, Inc.	620
Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General v. Consumer Publishing Co.	541
Copsey v. State	677
Cordovi v. State	727
Craddock v. State	705
Cramer v. Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County	859
Dick v. Mercantile-Safe Deposit & Trust Co.	843
Dill v. Avery	619
Doctors' Hospital v. Maryland Health Resources Planning Commission	783
Donaldson v. State	720
Edwards v. State	721
Ellsworth v. Sherne Lingerie, Inc.	875
Equitable Life Assurance Society v. Jalowsky	635
Erie Insurance Exchange v. Reliance Insurance Co.	642
Erwin & Shafer, Inc. v. Pabst Brewing Co.	634
Evans v. State	689, 697, 728
Exxon Corp., USA v. Schoene	845, 867
Falise v. Falise	746
Farmers & Merchants National Bank of Hagerstown v. Schlossberg	626
Ferrell v. State	703
Ferrell v. State	700
Fisher v. State	685
Flaherty v. Weinberg	847
Foster v. State	695, 723
Foster, Evans and Huffington v. State	698
Frye v. Frye	863
G&H Clearing and Landscaping v. Whitworth	603
Gallagher v. Bituminous Fire & Marine Insurance Co.	844, 882
General Motors Acceptance Corp. v. Daniels	623
Green v. Green	744, 748
Greenburg v. State	804
Hale v. Hale	615
Hamilton v. Ford Motor Credit Co.	630, 844
Hampton Associates Limited Partnership v. Baltimore County	835
Harris v. State (Harris III)	678
Harris v. State (Harris IV)	694
Harrod v. State	702
Hart v. Miller	617

Head v. Head	776
Henley v. Prince George's County	861
Hetrick v. Weimer	853
Hill v. Fitzgerald	849
Hines v. Potomac Electric Power Co.	570, 641
Holiday Universal Club of Rockville, Inc. v. Montgomery County	651
Hopper v. State	722
Houghton v. County Commissioners of Kent County	590
Howard v. State	726
Huffington v. State	698 n.219, 726
<i>In re</i> Colin R.	778
<i>In re</i> Herbert B.	715
<i>In re</i> Jose S.	714
<i>In re</i> Ramont K.	780
Insel v. Solomon	632
Insurance Service Management, Inc. v. Muhl	555
Johnson v. Mountaire Farms of Delmarva, Inc.	570, 881
Johnson v. State	730
Johnson v. State	682
Kelley v. R.G. Industries, Inc.	870
Kern v. South Baltimore General Hospital	884
Keys v. Chrysler Credit Corp.	870
King v. Bankerd	818
Kirsner v. Edelman	605
L.F.M. v. Department of Social Services	772
Laddon v. Rhett Realty, Inc.	642
Lamb v. Hopkins	855
Leese v. Baltimore County	657
Leonard v. Fantasy Imports, Inc.	573
Levitt v. State of Maryland Deposit Insurance Fund Corp.	708
Liscombe v. Potomac Edison Co.	858
Lohrmann v. Arundel Corp.	803
Lucky Ned Pepper's Ltd. v. Columbia Park & Recreation Association	660
Lucky Stores, Inc. v. Street	568
Matthews v. State	720
McCance v. Lindau	602
McClelland v. Massinga	561, 766
McClurkin v. Maldonado	559, 794 n.105
McCray v. State	734
McMillian v. State	710
Metalcraft, Inc. v. Pratt	642
Middleton v. State	719
Miller v. Montgomery County	733
Miller v. Pinto	801
Miller Building Supply, Inc. v. Rosen	841
Miner v. Novotny	868
Mitchell v. Goodyear Service Store	567
Mitcherling v. Rosselli	560, 794 n.105
Montgomery County v. Fulks	834
Myers v. State	734
Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co. v. Miller	640
Nelson v. State	721
Nueva Engineering, Inc. v. Accurate Electronics, Inc.	586
O'Hara v. Kovens	601
Olson v. Olson	769

Osborne v. Comptroller of the Treasury	830
Osborne v. State	713
Palmer Ford, Inc. v. Wood	838
Parker v. State	675
Partain v. State	705
Payne v. State	693
Pemberton v. Bethlehem Steel Corp.	673, 845
People's Counsel for Baltimore County v. Webster	803
Perini Services, Inc. v. Maryland Health Resources Planning Commission	785
Peters v. Staubitz	807
Phillips Harborplace, Inc. v. State Department of Assessments and Taxation ...	831
Preissman v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore	599
Presley v. Presley	765
Ralkey v. Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co.	792
Ramsey, Inc. v. Davis	596
Rayne v. Coulbourne	808
Reigh v. Schleigh	611
Rogers Refrigeration Co. v. Pulliam's Garage, Inc.	644
Rohrbaugh v. Estate of Stern	810
Rosenberg v. Rosenberg	751
Rowe v. Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co.	653
Rowley v. City of Baltimore	854
Russell v. American Security Bank, N.A.	595
Salvatore v. Cunningham	812
Savoy v. State	725
Schauder v. Brager	567
Smith v. Plymouth Locomotive Works, Inc.	625
Smith v. State	684
Smith v. State	711
Smith v. State	720
Spence v. Medical Mutual Liability Insurance Society of Maryland	637
Spessard v. Spessard	740
Spivey v. Harris	614
State v. Boozer	675
State v. Chaney	706
State v. Huebner	687
State v. One 1980 Harley Davidson Motorcycle	615
State v. One Hundred and Fifty-Eight Gaming Devices	702
State v. Quinn	683
Stewart v. State	704
Supervisor of Assessments of Baltimore City v. Friends School	833
Taylor v. Taylor	767
Teeter v. State	717
Thomas v. State	689
Ticer v. Ticer	745
Tranen v. Aziz	787
Trimper v. Porter-Hayden	878
Turner v. Smalis, Inc.	655
Ungar v. State	806
Unkle v. Unkle	743
Unnamed Attorney v. Attorney Grievance Commission	596
Valentine v. State	712
Vane v. Nocella	673
Vavasori v. Commission on Human Relations	565
Waddell v. State	724

Walker v. Haywood	608
Walker v. Lindsey	546
Walker v. Ward	816
Ward Development Co. v. Ingrao	862
Ward Europa, Inc. v. Comptroller of the Treasury	824
Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission v. C.I. Mitchell & Best Co.	820
Watson v. Watson	809
White v. State	681
Whitehead v. Safway Steel Products, Inc.	572, 616
Whiting-Turner Contracting Co. v. Coupard	663
Williams v. Williams	590, 778
Williams v. Williams	777
Wilson v. Jackson	866
Wyatt v. Potomac Electric Power Co.	571
Wyndham v. Haines	791
Yarema v. Exxon Corp.	597
Young v. Hartford Accident & Indemnity Co.	842, 883 n.437
Young v. State	701
Zorich v. Zorich	745

