

Occasional Papers/Reprint Series in Contemporary Asian Studies

General Editor: Hungdah Chiu Executive Editor: Chih-Yu Wu

Associate Executive Editors: Su Yun Chang

David Salem

Managing Editor: Chih-Yu Wu Associate Managing Editor: Chun-li Ouyang

Editorial Advisory Board

Professor Robert A. Scalapino, University of California at Berkeley

Professor Gaston J. Sigur, George Washington University
Professor Shao-chuan Leng, University of Virginia
Professor J. S. Prybyla, The Pennsylvania State University
Professor Bih-jaw Lin, Institute of International Relations, National
Chengchi University, Republic of China

Professor Toshio Sawada, Sophia University, Japan Professor Gottfried-Karl Kindermann, Center for International Politics, University of Munich, Federal Republic of Germany Professor Choon-ho Park, International Legal Studies, Korea University, Republic of Korea

All contributions (in English only) and communications should be sent to:
Professor Hungdah Chiu, University of Maryland School of Law,
500 West Baltimore Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201-1786 USA.
All publications in this series reflect only the views of the authors.

While the editor accepts responsibility for the selection of materials to be published, the individual author is responsible for statements of facts and expressions of opinion contained therein.

Subscription is US \$22.00 for 6 issues (regardless of the price of individual issues) in the United States and \$28.00 for Canada or overseas. Check should be addressed to OPRSCAS.

Tel.: (410) 706-3870 FAX: (410) 706-4045

Price for single copy of this issue: US \$4.00.

ISSN 0730-0107 ISBN 0-925153-23-0

Occasional Papers/Reprints Series in Contemporary Asian Studies, Inc.

THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL STATUS OF THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA (REVISED VERSION)

by Hungdah Chiu

	·		

THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL STATUS OF THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA* (Revised version of No. 1-1990(96))

Hungdah Chiu

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	2
II.	The Political Nature of the International Law of Recognition	3
III.	The International Status of the Republic of China as Viewed by Certain Scholars	7
IV.	Dual Recognition, Official Relations and Semi-Official Relations	14
V.	Conclusion of International Agreements and Participation in Inter-Governmental Organizations	22
VI.	Conclusion	24
Appe	endices	
I.	Republic of China's Diplomatic and Consular Missions Abroad	26
II.	Republic of China's Official or Semi-Official Missions Abroad	28
III.	Foreign Diplomatic/Consular Missions in the Republic of China	33
IV.	Foreign Semi-Official or Unofficial Missions in the Republic of China	34

^{*} This paper was originally entitled, "The International Law of Recognition and the Status of the Republic of China" and delivered at the Conference on the Republic of China on Taiwan-United States Relationship, April 7-8, 1989, Los Angeles, organized by The Claremont Institute in conjunction with The Greater Los Angeles World Trade Center Association and California Taiwan Trade and Investment Council, and later published in Steven W. Mosher, ed., The United States and the Republic of China, Democratic Friends, Strategic Allies, and Economic Partners, New Brunswick (U.S.A.) and London: Transaction Publishers, 1992, pp. 13-30. A shorter version was also published in Journal of Chinese Law, Vol. 3, No. 2 (Fall 1989), pp. 193-203 and Chinese Yearbook of International Law and Affairs, Vol. 8 (1988-89), pp. 1-18, which was reprinted in No. 1-1990 (96) of the Occasional Papers/Reprints Series in Contemporary Asian Studies. This is an updated, revised version.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Republic of China (ROC) on Taiwan is in effective control of an area of 35,981 square kilometers (14,000 square miles), which is approximately the combined size of Maryland, Delaware and Rhode Island of the United States. It has a population of approximately 20 million, with a per capita income of approximately U.S. \$10,000.00 in 1991. In terms of foreign trade, it is the thirteenth leading trading nation of the world and the sixth leading trading partner of the United States. The armed forces number about half a million, with reserves of more than 2 million troops.¹

Looking at these indicators alone, there is no doubt that the ROC should be recognized as a state in the international community and should be represented in all major international organizations. Unfortunately, the reality is just the reverse: the ROC is not recognized by most countries of the world and is not represented in the United Nations and its affiliated agencies.² Only a few international organizations accept the ROC as one of their members. Even then, the ROC's membership status is always in an unstable condition.³ Why is that?

^{1.} For general information on the Republic of China, see Republic of China Yearbook 1990-1991, Taipei: Kwang-Hwa Publishing Co., 1990; Background Notes Taiwan (September 1991), U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Public Affairs; and, National Conditions of the Republic of China, A Brief Graphic Review, Summer 1992, Taipei: Bureau of Statistics, Executive Yuan.

^{2.} On October 26, 1971, the United Nations General Assembly voted to give the Chinese seat to the People's Republic of China (PRC) and thus exclude the Republic of China (ROC) from participation in the United Nations. Other U.N. specialized agencies soon took similar measures to exclude the ROC. See Yearbook of the United Nations, Vol. 25 (1971), New York: The United Nations, 1973, pp. 126-137. In 1980, the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, the International Development Association and the International Finance Corporation also excluded the ROC. See Hungdah Chiu, Rong-jye Chen and Tzu-Wen Lee, "Contemporary Practices and Judicial Decisions of the Republic of China Relating to International Law [1979-81]," Chinese Yearbook of International Law and Affairs, Vol. 1 (1981), pp. 142-143.

^{3.} The ROC now maintains its membership status only in eight organizations: the International Union of Publication of Customs Union; the International Criminal Police Organization (Interpol); the International Office of Epizooties; the International Cotton Advisory Committee (ICAC); the Asian Productivity Organization; the Afro-Asian Rural Reconstruction Organization; the Asian and Pacific Council (APC) and the Asian Development Bank (ADB). Among them, voting rights of the ROC in Interpol have been given to the People's Republic of China, though the ROC still is considered a member under the name "China Taiwan"; the ICAC has changed the ROC's name to China Taiwan and the ADB has changed the ROC's name to "Taipei, China." See Hungdah Chiu and Su Yun Chang, "Contemporary Practice and Judicial Decisions of the Republic of China Relating to International Law, 1990-91," Chinese Yearbook of International Law and Affairs, Vol. 10 (1990-91), pp. 327-328. Moreover, the APC is a somewhat defunct organization. No

This is because the international law of recognition does not necessarily reflect the reality of international politics. This paper deals with the international legal status of the ROC in the context of the international law of recognition and the problem the ROC faces in maintaining its foreign relations through unorthodox channels in international law.

II. THE POLITICAL NATURE OF THE INTERNATIONAL LAW OF RECOGNITION

In the international legal system, writers and state practice generally agree on the essential qualifications of a state, namely: (1) a permanent population; (2) a defined territory; (3) a government; and, (4) a capacity to enter into relations with other states.⁴ However, because the international legal system is a decentralized one, there is no centralized authority to render an authoritative decision on whether an entity does possess these qualifications. As a result, the decision is left to the individual states of the international community through the system of recognition, i.e., "the acknowledgement of a situation with the intention of admitting the legal implications of such a state of affairs." Ideally, each state should treat the question of identifying whether an entity is a state as a legal one and base its decision on recognition on objective criteria prescribed by international law. But the practice of most states shows that this decision on recognizing an entity as a state is treated much more as a question of policy.

ministerial meeting has been held since 1973. However, two organs established by the APC remain in operation—the Cultural and Social Center for the Asian and Pacific Region (CULSOCEN, located in Seoul) and the Food and Fertilizer Technology Center for the Asian and Pacific Region (FETC, located in Taipei). Michael Haas, ed., *Basic Documents of Asian Regional Organizations*, Vol. III, Dobbs Ferry, New York: Oceana, 1974, p. 991 and Vol. V (published in 1979), pp. 453-455.

4. E.g., see Article 1 of the Convention on Rights and Duties of States, Montevideo, December 26, 1933. League of Nations, Treaty Series, Vol. 165, p. 19. See also § 201 of the Restatement of the Law [of] the Foreign Relations of the United States, 3rd ed., Vol. 1, St. Paul, Minn.: American Law Institute Publisher, 1987, p. 72, (hereinafter referred to as Restatement of the Foreign Relations Law), which states:

Under international law, a state is an entity that has a defined territory and a permanent population, under the control of its own government, and that engages in, or has the capacity to engage in, formal relations with other such entities. The Restatement represents the general consensus of American lawyers.

- 5. Georg Schwarzenberger and E.D. Brown, A Manual of International Law, 6th ed., Abingdon, Oxon., United Kingdom: Professional Books Ltd., 1976, p. 566.
- 6. H. Lauterpacht, Recognition in International Law, Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 1947, pp. 32-33.
 - 7. J.G. Starke, An Introduction to International Law, 8th ed., London: Butterworths,

There is also a controversy in international law as to whether a government of a recognized state can represent that state in the international community. Some consider that the decisive criterion should be whether a government has effective control over its population and territory, while others would like to introduce additional elements, such as a government's popular support within the state or its willingness to honor international obligations, or others. Again, like the question of identifying an entity as a state, the determination that a government can represent a particular state is left to the decision of the individual states of the international community through the system of recognition. The state practice, however, also indicates that states base their decisions primarily on policy considerations rather than legal principles.⁹

In view of this, it is clear that the law of recognition is a highly politicized part of public international law. This may partially explain why the question of recognition of states and governments has neither in theory nor in practice been solved satisfactorily. In practice, because of the discretionary nature of recognition, a state acts legally in not granting recognition to an entity which in fact possesses all the necessary qualifications of statehood or to a government which is in fact in effective control of a state's population and territory. Needless to say, the lack of congruity between politics and law with respect to recognition of states and governments has created difficulty and inconvenience in international relations.

While an entity that meets the qualifications of statehood may be denied recognition by one or more states in the international community, it cannot, because of the lack of recognition, be denied its rights or escape its obligations under international law.¹⁰ As stated by a Third World lawyer:

It is generally admitted that an unrecognized state cannot be completely ignored. Its territory cannot be considered to be no-man's-land; there is no right to overfly without permis-

^{1977,} p. 149. See also § 202(1) of the Restatement of the Foreign Relations Law, supra note 4, p. 74.

^{8.} See the summary of various views in Louis Henkin, Richard Crawford Pugh, Oscar Schachter and Hans Smit, *International Law, Cases and Materials*, 2nd ed., St. Paul, Minn.: West Publishing Co., 1987, pp. 242-247.

^{9.} See Starke, International Law, supra note 7, p. 159 and Malcolm N. Shaw, International Law, 2nd ed., Cambridge, United Kingdom: Grotius Publications Ltd., 1986, p. 214. The Restatement takes the position that a state is not required to accord formal recognition to the government of another state. See § 203 of the Restatement of the Foreign Relations Law, supra note 4, p. 84.

^{10.} Henkin et al., International Law, supra note 8, p. 232.

sion; ships flying its flag cannot be considered stateless, and so on.¹¹

With respect to the status of unrecognized governments, the American Restatement of the Foreign Relations Law takes the view that while a state "is not required to accord formal recognition to the government of another state," it is required to treat the government of another state as a regime that is in effective control of that state." While no other international lawyers have discussed this issue, none of them seems ever to have suggested that an unrecognized government should be denied any status in the international community. In practice, informal relations have sometimes been maintained between a state and an unrecognized regime. 13

In view of the above discussion, it is clear that an unrecognized state or government still enjoys certain rights and bears certain obligations in international law. Theoretically, a domestic court can deny the legal status of an recognized state or government, but in reality, under certain circumstances, domestic courts have held that it is impossible in law not to adjust the rigid rules on the legal consequences of non-recognition of a de facto state or government. Thus, in the case of Wulfsohn v. Russian Socialist Federated Soviet Republic (234 N.Y. 372, 138 N.E. 24 (Ct. App. 1923)), in which an action was brought against the unrecognized RSFSR, the court dismissed the case on the ground that "whether or not a government exists . . . is a fact, not a theory." In another case concerning a then-unrecognized East German corporation's right to sue in the United States, a New York court observed:

A foreign government, although not recognized by the political arm of the United States Government, may nevertheless have de facto existence which is juridically cognizable. . . . The lack of jural status for such government or its creature corporation is not determinative of whether transactions with it will be denied enforcement in American courts. . . . (Upright v. Mercury Business Machines Co., 12 App. Div. 2d 36, 213 N.Y.S.2d 417 (1961)).

^{11.} Peter James Nkamabo Mugerwa, "Subjects of International Law," in Max Sorensen, ed., Manual of Public International Law, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1968, p. 269. § 303(1) of the Restatement of the Foreign Relations Law, supra note 4, p. 77, takes a similar position.

^{12. § 203(1)} of the Restatement of the Foreign Relations Law, supra note 4, p. 84.

^{13.} See Green H. Hackworth, *Digest of International Law*, Vol. 1, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1940, pp. 327-328.

In certain cases, a state has found it necessary in practice to deny the legal effect of non-recognition of a foreign state or government. For example, after the United States de-recognized the ROC on Taiwan on January 1, 1979, it enacted the Taiwan Relations Act (TRA) of 1979, ¹⁴ the effect of which was to treat Taiwan as a state and the governing authorities there as a government, despite the lack of formal recognition for the ROC on Taiwan. With respect to the legal status of Taiwan, the TRA provides:

Sec. 4(b). . . .

- (1) Whenever the laws of the United States refer or relate to foreign countries, nations, states, governments, or similar entities, such terms shall include and such laws shall apply with respect to Taiwan.
- (3)(A) The absence of diplomatic relations and recognition with respect to Taiwan shall not abrogate, infringe, modify, deny or otherwise affect in any way rights or obligations (including but not limited to those involving contracts, debts, or property interests of any kind) under the laws of the United States heretofore or hereafter acquired by or with respect to Taiwan.
- (7) The capacity of Taiwan to sue and be sued in courts in the United States, in accordance with the laws for the United States, shall not be abrogated, infringed, modified, denied, or otherwise affected in any way by the absence of diplomatic relations or recognition.
- (8) No requirement, whether expressed or implied, under the laws of the United States with respect to maintenance of diplomatic relations or recognition shall be applicable with respect to Taiwan.
- (c) For all purposes, including actions in any court in the United States, the Congress approves the continuation in force of all treaties and other international agreements, including multilateral conventions, entered into by the United

^{14.} U.S. Public Law 96-8, April 10, 1979, United States Statute at Large, Vol. 93, p. 14 ff, reprinted in Hungdah Chiu, ed., China and the Taiwan Issue, New York: Praeger, 1979, pp. 266-275. See also Hungdah Chiu, The Taiwan Relations Act and Sino-American Relations, Baltimore: University of Maryland School of Law Occasional Papers/Reprints Series in Contemporary Asian Studies, No. 3-1990 (99); William B. Bader and Jeffrey T. Bergner, eds., The Taiwan Relations Act, A Decade of Implementation, Indianapolis, Indiana: Hudson Institute; Menlo Park, California: SRI International, 1989.

States and the governing authorities on Taiwan recognized by the United States as the Republic of China prior to January 1, 1979, and in force between them on December 31, 1978, unless and until terminated in accordance with law.

(d) Nothing in this Act may be construed as a basis for supporting the exclusion or expulsion of Taiwan from continued membership in any international financial institution or any other international organization.

In international political relations, the need to disregard the legal consequences of non-recognition is sometimes more compelling. For instance, international agreements sometimes have been concluded between a state and an unrecognized state or government. As Dr. Marjorie Whiteman observed in 1959:

It is possible for bilateral treaties or agreements entered into not to constitute recognition. Thus, during the years 1919 and 1920 a number of bilateral treaties or agreements providing for the repatriation of prisoners of war and nations were entered into with the [unrecognized] Soviet government. 15

Official contacts between two countries or governments not recognizing each other sometimes have also become necessary in international relations. For example, between 1955 and 1971, the United States and the People's Republic of China (PRC) had engaged in more than one hundred ambassadorial talks between them despite the fact that they did not recognize each other until January 1, 1979. Similarly, between 1973 and 1978, the United States and the PRC maintained official liaison offices in each other's capital, despite the absence of mutual recognition. 17

III. THE INTERNATIONAL STATUS OF THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA AS VIEWED BY CERTAIN SCHOLARS

The application of the international law of recognition to the Chinese case is a challenging question, because the Chinese case is unique

^{15.} Marjorie Whiteman, *Digest of International Law*, Vol. 2, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1963, p. 52.

^{16.} See Kenneth T. Young, Negotiating with the Chinese Communists: The United States Experience, 1953-1967, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968 and Tang-tai Chung-kuo wai-chiao (Diplomacy of Contemporary China), Peking: Chinese Social Sciences Press (for internal circulation), 1987, pp. 95-110.

^{17.} See "Presidential Assistant Kissinger Visits Asia," Department of State Bulletin, Vol. 68, No. 1760 (March 19, 1973), pp. 313, 315-317.

in several respects. First, there has never been any doubt that China is a state under international law. The issue is which government of China—the ROC government or the PRC government—is the legal government of China. Until 1971, China was represented by the ROC government in the United Nations and in almost all international organizations and more countries recognized the ROC government than the PRC government. Since then, the situation has changed completely. Second, the PRC government has made it clear that force will be used against Taiwan if the ROC government declares Taiwan a separate state. Third, no country has so far been able to recognize and establish diplomatic relations with the PRC government without derecognizing and severing diplomatic relations with the ROC government. Therefore, the status of the ROC is unprecedented in international law.

Most international lawyers have ignored the question of the status of the ROC under international law in their writings. Only a few are willing to discuss this difficult question. Therefore, views of those few who have addressed the Chinese issues are summarized below.

(1) United States

After severing diplomatic relations with the Republic of China, the U.S. Senate's Committee on Foreign Relations held Hearings on Taiwan in February 1979. At that hearing, Professor Victor Li, then of Stanford University Law School, expressed the following view on Taiwan's status:

A... possible description of the legal status of Taiwan after withdrawal of recognition is that it is a "de facto entity with international personality." That is, while no longer regarded by the United States as a de jure government or state, nevertheless Taiwan continues to control a population and territory and to carry out the usual functions of government.¹⁹

^{18.} E.g., Chinese leader Teng Hsiao-p'ing said on October 11, 1984, that if Taiwan declared independence, the PRC would institute a blockade against Taiwan. "Deng [Teng] Warns of 'Eruption' in U.S.-China Ties Over Taiwan," The New York Times, October 12, 1984, p. A8. Recently, the PRC issued several stern warnings through its officially-controlled media against the Taiwan independent movement, e.g., see "Liaowang [The Outlook] Speculates on Use of Force," Foreign Broadcast Information Service, China (hereinafter FBIS, China), November 29, 1989, pp. 52-55. On October 29, 1992, a high-ranking Chinese Communist poliburo member Li Rui-huan said that "the PRC won't budge an inch on its one China policy and will take all possible means to prevent the independence of Taiwan." "Li Ruihuan Warns Against Independence," FBIS, China, October 30, 1992, p. 47.

^{19.} Taiwan, Hearings before the Committee on Foreign Relations, 96th Congress, 1st

In my written statement submitted to the Hearings, I took the following position on the status of Taiwan:

According to international law, "the existence in fact of a new state or a new government is not dependent on its recognition by other states." (Hackworth, Digest of International Law, Vol. 1 (1940), p. 161. Hackworth was Chief Legal Adviser of the Department of State and later served as a judge of the International Court of Justice.) This principle also finds support in the 1933 Inter-American Convention on Rights and Duties of States which provide[s] in Article 3 that "the political existence of the state is independent of recognition by other states." While the United States may not want to formally recognize the ROC even as a state and government within the territory under its control, it may take a position somewhere in between recognition and non-recognition with respect to the international status of the ROC in Taiwan. For instance, the United States may issue a statement reiterating its position on recognition as stated by Hackworth and in the 1933 Convention and then say that "within that context the United States takes note that the ROC government is in effective control of Taiwan and is the only authority there." This formula has the benefit of not offending the PRC, for it does not formally recognize the ROC in Taiwan. On the other hand, it takes official cognizance of the objective existence of the ROC in Taiwan and states [that] the United States will deal with Taiwan on that basis.20

Among the many textbooks of public international law published in the United States, only two refer to the status of the ROC on Taiwan. In a casebook jointly edited by four professors at Columbia University School of Law and published in 1980, Taiwan was categorized as an entity *sui generis* as a subject of international law. This category also includes the State of the Vatican City and the Holy See. The reason for this classification is that these "entities have an international legal status though they do not easily fit into any of the established categories." The book describes Taiwan's status as follows:

Session, on Bill 5. 245, February 5, 6, 7, 8, 21 and 22, 1979, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1979, p. 148.

^{20.} *Ibid.*, p. 820. Both Li and Chiu's testimony are excerpted in *International Law Perspective*, Vol. 5, No. 2 (February 1979), pp. 2-3 (Li) and 4 (Chiu).

^{21.} Henkin et al., International Law, supra note 8, 1st ed., 1980, p. 207.

The island of Taiwan, generally considered as part of China, has had an independent regime exercising full control over the island since the establishment of the People's Republic of China in 1949 and claiming and long recognized by many states as the Government of China. On one view, the legal status of Taiwan remained undetermined even after the renunciation of Japanese claims in the Peace Treaty with Japan. On another view, Taiwan was legally part of China. Irrespective of these views, it was acknowledged that Taiwan was under the *de facto* authority of a government that engaged in foreign relations and entered into international agreements with other governments.²²

Professor Gerhard von Glahn explains the ROC's status as follows:

From a factual point of view, the Republic of China continues, of course, to exist as an independent entity, even though it was recognized by only twenty-two members of the family of nations.²³

(2) The United Kingdom

Professor Ian Brownlie includes Taiwan and the State of the Vatican City in the category of entities *sui generis* as subjects of international law. He wrote:

[T]he case of territory the title to which is undetermined, and which is inhabited and has an independent administration, creates problems. On the analogy of belligerent communities and special regimes not dependent on the existence of the sovereignty of a particular state (for example, internationalized territories and trust territories), communities existing on territory with such a status may be treated as having a modified personality, approximating to that of a state. On one view of the facts this is the situation of Taiwan (Formosa). Until March 1972, the United Kingdom, which regarded the island as territory the title to which was undetermined, had certain legal relations involving acceptance of passports with the authorities there and maintained a consu-

^{22.} Ibid., p. 208. The second edition maintains the same view. See Henkin et al., International Law, 2nd ed., supra note 8, p. 278.

^{23.} Gerhard von Glahn, *The Law Among Nations, An Introduction to Public International Law*, 4th ed., New York: MacMillan Publishing Co., 1981, pp. 68-69. In the 5th edition, published in 1986, Professor von Glahn maintains the same view; see p. 63 of that edition.

late.²⁴ Clearly it is undesirable that the population of such areas should be regarded as "stateless" in law.²⁵

Dr. J. Crawford made an extensive study of Taiwan's status and concluded:

The conclusion must be that Taiwan is not a State, because it does not claim to be, 26 and is not recognized as such: its status is that of a consolidated local de facto government in a civil war situation. The Republic of China may even be precluded, by its actions since 1949, from attempting to assert separate sovereignty over the island, although the finally effective secession of part of a State may never be excluded in practice. But this is not to say that Formosa has no status whatever in international law. It is a party to various conventions binding its own territory. Courts faced with specific issues concerning its status may treat it on a de facto basis as a "well defined geographical, social, and political entity [with] . . . a Government which has undisputed control of the island." Conflicts between its limited status in international law and the policies of particular statutes or contracts may be reconciled or avoided, especially in a municipal forum, by interpretation. Internationally the Government of Formosa is a well established de facto government, capable of committing the State to at least certain classes of transaction. It has also been argued that the principle of self-determination applies, so that Taiwan may not be transferred to the control of the People's Republic without the consent of the people. In any case, attempts to solve the problem of Taiwan otherwise than by peaceful means must now constitute a situation "likely to endanger the maintenance of inter-

^{24.} On June 17, 1954, the United Kingdom established Charge d'affairs relations with the PRC and on March 13, 1973, both countries agreed to raise their relations to the ambassadorial level. The United Kingdom then closed its consulate in Tamsui, Taiwan in 1973. Jerome Alan Cohen and Hungdah Chiu, People's China and International Law: A Documentary Study, Vol. 1, Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1974, p. 245.

^{25.} Ian Brownlie, *Principles of Public International Law*, 2nd ed., London: Oxford University Press, 1973, pp. 68-69. Professor Brownlie maintains the same view in the third edition, 1979, pp. 68-69. But in the fourth edition of this book, 1990, the sentence "clearly it is undesirable that the population of such areas should be regarded as 'stateless' in law," is omitted and replaced by the following: "The question will arise whether Taiwan is a 'country' within particular context." Brownlie, 1990, p. 67.

^{26.} Dr. Crawford does not seem to understand that the PRC has made it clear that force will be used against Taiwan if the latter declares independence. See *supra* note 18.

national peace and security" under Article 33 of the Charter: this view is held, as we have seen, by both the British and United States governments. To this extent, while there is no strict "juridical boundary" between the parties, there does appear to be a frontier for the purposes of the use of force.²⁷

Another British scholar, Malcolm N. Shaw, considers Taiwan a non-state territorial entity. He explains Taiwan's status as follows:

This territory was ceded by China to Japan in 1895 and remained in the latter's hands until 1945. Japan undertook on surrender not to retain sovereignty over Taiwan and this was reaffirmed under the Peace Treaty between the Allied Powers (but not the USSR and China) and Japan, under which all rights to the island were renounced without specifying any recipient. After the Chinese Civil War, the Communist forces took over the mainland while the Nationalist regime installed itself on Taiwan (Formosa) and the Pescadores. The key point affecting status has been that both governments have claimed to represent the whole of China. No claim of separate statehood for Taiwan has been made and in such a case it is difficult to maintain that such an unsought status exists. Total lack of recognition merely reinforces this point.²⁸ Accordingly, Taiwan would appear to be a nonstate territorial entity which is de jure part of China but under separate administration.²⁹

(3) France

Professor Aleth Manin appears to be the only scholar who has actively considered the status of Taiwan. However, although she discussed Taiwan's status extensively, she did not propose any new ideas or suggestions.³⁰

(4) Federal Republic of Germany

^{27.} J. Crawford, The Creation of States in International Law, London: Oxford University Press, 1979, pp. 151-152.

^{28.} Mr. Shaw is wrong on this point: the ROC on Taiwan was still recognized by 23 (now 30) countries in the world at the time Mr. Shaw expressed his opinion.

^{29.} Malcolm N. Shaw, *International Law*, 2nd ed., Cambridge, United Kingdom: Grotius Publications, Ltd., 1986, pp. 148-149. He maintains the same position in the third edition, 1991, pp. 162-163.

^{30.} Aleth Manin, "Taiwan: Nouveaux Aspects Juridiques," in Annuaire Français de Droit International, Vol. 26, Paris: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 1980, pp. 140-174 and Aleth Manin, "Divided Nations and International Law: The Case of Taiwan," in Hungdah Chiu and Robert Downen, eds. Multi-System Nations and International Law: The International Status of Germany, Korea and China, Baltimore: University of

Dr. Meinhard Hilf expresses the following view on the status of the ROC on Taiwan:

The People's Republic of China is now a universally recognized State. The status of the Republic of China (Taiwan) is less clear; it considers itself as a part of the "old" China thus excluding the concept of being a separate and divided State. The Republic of China (Taiwan) has lost its wide international recognition as a State, especially since 1972; it is often considered as a part of China under separate administration, i.e., a consolidated local de facto government (Crawford). In 1981, 23 . . . States still maintained diplomatic relations with the Republic of China (Taiwan).³¹

None of the scholars' views, as summarized above, seems to provide a satisfactory answer to the status of the ROC on Taiwan. This is because the question of the ROC's status is in reality essentially a political one, as explained by a Dutch international lawyer of Chinese origin, Ko Swan Sik, as follows:

According to a categorization drawn up by John H. Herz in 1974, three categories may be distinguished in terms of recognition between the contending power centers [in a state]: (1) mutual non-recognition, (2) unilateral recognition, and (3) mutual recognition. The Chinese case is clearly an example of the first category. Suggestions have been made that the law should be applied in such a way as to rhyme with the facts of the multi-system situation, but none of these suggestions seem to have offered adequate solutions as yet. Dealing with "how the norms of bipolarity should have developed", Morton Kaplan [University of Chicago], has proposed "a new and appropriate standard of international law", viz., "the existence of a single state that could be coterminous with more than a single legitimate government." We are thus directed to think about a legal construction according to which each of two centers of governmental power are recognized as alternative legal governments of one formally, but not factually, existing state, each government exercising authority according to its de facto territorial jurisdiction. Other constructions, similar and dissimilar, could

Maryland School of Law, Occasional Papers/ Reprints Series in Contemporary Asian Studies, No. 8-1981 (45), pp. 160-166.

^{31.} Meinhard Hilf, "Divided States," in Rudolf Bernhardt, ed. Encyclopedia of Public International Law, Vol. 10, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1987, p. 127.

be set up and theoretically elaborated, but their feasibility remains hinged upon political inclination. Legal alternatives are, consequently, only to be seen as the legal formalizations of political alternatives.³²

However, all scholars acknowledged that the ROC on Taiwan exercises independent foreign relations powers, as will be analyzed in the next section.

IV. DUAL RECOGNITION, OFFICIAL RELATIONS AND SEMI-OFFICIAL RELATIONS

In international law, the conduct of foreign relations between a state and other states is through embassies or consulates or both. However, in many countries, this mechanism is not available to the ROC on Taiwan because it does not have diplomatic or consular relations with most countries of the world. A question may be raised as to whether it is possible for a state to recognize both the PRC and the ROC and thus maintain diplomatic relations with both. This is sometimes referred to as "dual recognition." In light of the theory of international law, this is the most satisfactory solution to the Chinese case, because both the PRC and the ROC do possess the necessary qualifications to become states under international law. However, as explained in the early part of this paper, the law of recognition is a highly politicized part of international law and countries do not act purely on legal considerations in recognizing an entity as a state.³⁴ The PRC has insisted that a state must sever its diplomatic relations with the ROC when recognizing the PRC. The following cases illustrate that it is not possible for the ROC to continue its diplomatic relations with a state when the latter recognizes the PRC:

(1) France

In October 1963, General de Gaulle sent former premier Edgar Faure to the PRC to discuss the question of establishing diplomatic relations. Both countries agreed to establish diplomatic relations first, thus leading to the severance of diplomatic relations between France and the ROC.³⁵

^{32.} Ko Swan Sik, "The Dutch-Taiwanese Submarine Deal: Legal Aspects," Netherlands Yearbook of International Law, Vol. 13 (1982), pp. 140-141.

^{33.} E.g., Dr. Lin-cheng Chang of National Taiwan University expressed her view at a meeting of the Foreign Affairs Committee of the Legislative Yuan, April 13, 1988. See *Lifa Yuan Kung-pao* (Gazette of the Legislative Yuan), Vol. 77, No. 90 (November 9, 1988), pp. 177.

^{34.} See supra note 7 and accompanying text.

^{35.} Diplomacy of Contemporary China, supra note 16, pp. 189, 182-183.

On January 27, 1964, the PRC and France announced the establishment of diplomatic relations, with ambassadors to be exchanged within the following three months. The ROC embassy filed a strong protest against this "unfriendly" act of France, but did not sever diplomatic relations. However, under strong pressure from the PRC, it is reported that France urged the ROC to withdraw its embassy voluntarily, or ultimately face expulsion from France. On February 10, 1964, the ROC terminated its diplomatic relations with France.³⁶

(2) Congo (Brazzaville)

On August 15, 1960, the French Congo became an independent state and soon established diplomatic relations with the ROC. In August 1963, the country was renamed the People's Republic of Congo and soon negotiated with the PRC for establishing diplomatic relations. According to a PRC source, the Congo and the PRC orally agreed that, on the date of the establishment of diplomatic relations, "the representative of Taiwan authorities in Congo will lose its status as diplomatic representative." On February 22, 1964, the PRC and the People's Republic of Congo announced their decision to establish diplomatic relations. The ROC's embassy remained until April 17, 1964, when it was forced to close.

(3) Benin (formerly Dahomey)

When Dahomey declared its independence on August 1, 1960, the ROC extended its recognition and sent a special envoy to attend the independence celebration. But the PRC also extended recognition to Dahomey. On January 19, 1964, Dahomey and the ROC decided to establish diplomatic relations, and an embassy of the ROC was set up in Cotonou. On November 12, 1964, however, Dahomey and the PRC decided to establish diplomatic relations. Shortly thereafter, the PRC sent a charg d'affaires ad interim to head its mission in Cotonou. and it appointed an ambassador in February 1965. Two months later, the ROC severed diplomatic relations with Dahomey and closed its embassy. The PRC's ambassador presented his credentials to President Sourou Migau of Dahomev on June 19, 1965. On January 3, 1966, Dahomey announced that it was severing diplomatic relations with the PRC. On April 21, 1966, the ROC resumed diplomatic relations with Dahomey.³⁸ Finally, on January 10, 1973, Dahomey announced the resumption of diplomatic relations with the PRC and the

^{36.} See Cohen and Chiu, *People's China and International Law*, supra note 24, Vol. 1, pp. 237-239.

^{37.} See Diplomacy of Contemporary China, supra note 16, pp. 136-137.

^{38.} Cohen and Chiu, People's China and International Law, supra note 24, pp. 257-258.

ROC declared the suspension of their diplomatic relations on January 17, 1973.

The above cases clearly indicate that it is not politically possible to have a "dual recognition" approach to the Chinese case. Since the Dahomey case, no country, except Vanuatu, that has decided to recognize the PRC has succeeded in taking a "dual recognition" approach to the Chinese case.

Since 1988, the question of dual recognition in other situations has arisen—whether a country having diplomatic relations with the PRC could maintain such relations if it decided to establish or resume diplomatic relations with the ROC. The following cases appear to indicate that it is not possible to do so.

(1) Grenada

On October 1, 1985, the PRC established diplomatic relations with Grenada.³⁹ On July 20, 1989, the ROC also established diplomatic relations with Grenada.⁴⁰ On August 8, 1989, the PRC suspended its diplomatic relations with Grenada.⁴¹

(2) Liberia

In August 1957, the ROC established diplomatic relations with Liberia. On February 17, 1977, Liberia established diplomatic relations with the PRC⁴² and a week later, on February 23, 1977, the ROC suspended its diplomatic relations with Liberia. In 1988, the ROC established a Trade Mission of the ROC in Liberia. On October 9, 1989, the ROC and Liberia decided to "resume" diplomatic relations.⁴³ On October 10, 1989, the PRC suspended its diplomatic relations with Liberia.⁴⁴

^{39.} Editorial Office of Diplomatic History of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China, ed., *Chung-kuo wai-chiao kai-lan*, 1987 (Survey of Chinese Diplomacy, 1987), Beijing: World Knowledge Press, 1987, p. 368.

^{40. &}quot;Diplomatic Ties Established with Grenada," FBIS, China, July 21, 1989, pp. 50-51.

^{41.} Jen-min jih-pao (People's Daily), August 8, 1989, p. 1.

^{42.} Survey of Chinese Diplomacy, 1987, supra note 39, p. 208.

^{43.} See "Liberia and Our Country Resume Diplomatic Relations," *Lien-ho pao* (United Daily News), Overseas edition, Taipei, October 3, 1989, p. 1; "Diplomatic Ties with Liberia Formerly Resumed," *FBIS*, *China*, October 3, 1989, p. 60 and *Chung-yang jih-pao* (Central Daily News), Int'l. ed., Taipei, October 10, 1989, p. 1.

^{44. &}quot;Diplomatic Relations with Liberia Suspended," FBIS, China, October 10, 1989, p. 17.

(3) Belize

On February 6, 1987, the PRC established diplomatic relations with Belize.⁴⁵ On October 11, 1989, the ROC established diplomatic relations with Belize.⁴⁶ On October 23, 1989, the PRC suspended its diplomatic relations with Belize.⁴⁷

(4) Lesotho

In 1955, the ROC established diplomatic relations with Lesotho. On April 30, 1983, Lesotho established diplomatic relations with the PRC and the ROC suspended its diplomatic relations with Lesotho in May. On April 5, 1990, Lesotho resumed its diplomatic relations with the ROC. On April 7, 1990, the PRC suspended its diplomatic relations with Lesotho.⁴⁸

(5) Guinea-Bissau

On September 30, 1973, Guinea-Bissau declared its recognition of the PRC and diplomatic relations were established between them on March 15, 1974.⁴⁹ On May 26, 1990, Guinea-Bissau and the ROC established diplomatic relations.⁵⁰ On May 31, 1991, the PRC suspended its diplomatic relations with Guinea-Bissau.⁵¹

(6) Central African Republic

On April 13, 1962, the Central African Republic established diplomatic relations with the ROC, but on September 29, 1964, it also established diplomatic relations with the PRC, which resulted in the suspension of relations with the ROC on November 5, 1964.⁵² However, on January 6, 1966, it severed diplomatic relations with the PRC and resumed its diplomatic relations with the ROC on May 6, 1968.⁵³ On August 20, 1976, it resumed its diplomatic relations with

^{45.} Survey of Chinese Diplomacy, 1987, supra note 39, Beijing, 1988, p. 342.

^{46.} Shih-jie jih-pao (World Journal), New York, October 13, 1989, p. 1.

^{47.} Ibid., October 24, 1989, p. 1.

^{48.} Survey of Chinese Diplomacy, 1987, supra note 39, p. 220 and Central Daily News, Int'l. ed., Taipei, April 9, 1990, p. 1.

^{49.} Survey of Chinese Diplomacy, 1987, supra note 39, p. 202.

^{50.} Chung-hua Min-kuo Wai-chiao Nien-chien 1991 (1991 Yearbook of Diplomacy of the Republic of China), Taipei: Distributed by Cheng-chung Book Co., 1991, p. 144.

^{51.} Survey of Chinese Diplomacy, 1991, supra note 39, Beijing: 1991, p. 211.

^{52.} Department of Treaty and Law of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, ed., Wo-kuo yu shih-chieh ke-kuo kuan-hsi i-lan piao (Table on our country's relations with the countries of the world), Taipei: June 1989, pp. 3, 4.

^{53.} Ibid.

PRC and the ROC suspended its relations with the Central African Republic.⁵⁴ On July 8, 1991, it again resumed its diplomatic relations with the ROC⁵⁵ and on July 18, 1991, the PRC suspended its diplomatic relations with the Central African Republic.⁵⁶

(7) Nicaragua

Nicaragua maintained diplomatic relations with the ROC until December 7, 1985, when it decided to establish diplomatic relations with the PRC. The ROC suspended its relations with Nicaragua. On November 6, 1990, Nicaragua decided to resume its diplomatic relations with the ROC.⁵⁷ On November 9, 1990, the PRC suspended its relations with Nicaragua.⁵⁸

(8) Niger

Soon after its gaining independence on August 3, 1960, the ROC established diplomatic relations with Niger on July 22, 1963.⁵⁹ On July 20, 1974, Niger declared its recognition of the PRC and established diplomatic relations with the PRC.⁶⁰ On July 29, 1974, the ROC suspended its relations with Niger.⁶¹ On June 19, 1992, the ROC and Niger decided to resume their diplomatic relations.⁶² On July 30, 1992, the PRC suspended its diplomatic relations with Niger.⁶³

Moreover, the PRC also cannot tolerate other countries maintaining formal consular relations with the ROC. On September 11, 1991, the PRC and Latvia decided to establish diplomatic relations.⁶⁴ However, before the PRC's embassy was to be established at Riga,

^{54.} Survey of Chinese Diplomacy, 1987, supra note 39, p. 167.

^{55. 1991} Yearbook of Diplomacy of the Republic of China, supra note 50, p. 802.

^{56.} Jen-min jih-pao (People's Daily), July 20, 1991, p. 1. It should be noted that between December 4, 1976 and September 21, 1979, the Central African Republic was named the Central African Empire.

^{57. 1991} Yearbook of Diplomacy of the Republic of China, supra note 50, p. 611.

^{58.} Ibid., p. 348.

^{59.} Lien-ho Pao (United Daily News), Int'l. ed., June 20, 1992, p. 1.

^{60.} Survey of Chinese Diplomacy, 1987, supra note 39, pp. 182-183.

^{61.} Table on Our Country's Relations with the Countries of the World, supra note 52, pp. 13, 14.

^{62.} Susan Yu, "Niger rejoins ROC diplomatic fold," Free China Weekly, Vol. IX, No. 44 (June 23, 1992), p. 1.

^{63. &}quot;Diplomatic Relations with Niger Suspended," Beijing Review, Vol. 35, No. 32 (August 10-16, 1992), p. 12.

^{64. &}quot;China Establishes Ties with Baltic Countries," Beijing Review, Vol. 34, No. 38 (September 23-29, 1991), p. 10.

Latvia, the latter concluded an agreement with the ROC on January 29, 1992 to establish relations at the consulate-general level;⁶⁵ the PRC then, on February 25, 1992, decided to withdraw its embassy at Riga.⁶⁶

Recently, the ROC and the Republic of Vanuatu concluded a joint communique to recognize each other on September 24, 1992,⁶⁷ but not to establish diplomatic relations. As the PRC and Vanuatu established diplomatic relations on March 26, 1982, it is not clear how the PRC would respond to this action. At the time of this writing in November 1992, it has not yet suspended its relations with Vanuatu.⁶⁸

While a "dual recognition" approach to maintaining foreign relations with the ROC and the PRC is not possible, the ROC has resorted to several ingenious approaches to maintain its foreign relations. The first approach has been to maintain an official presence, i.e., establishing a mission or delegation under the name "Republic of China" in certain countries that have recognized the PRC. This in fact makes relations between the ROC and those countries similar to diplomatic relations, without calling them such. Ironically, it is the PRC which first developed this practice by establishing an official liaison office in the United States in 1973 while the latter still maintained diplomatic relations with the ROC.⁶⁹ At present, the ROC has maintained such official presences in Angola, Bahrain, Bolivia, Ecuador, Fiji, Jamaica, Jordan, Kuwait, Libya, Madagascar, Mauritius, Nigeria, Papua New Guinea, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates and Zaire.

The PRC routinely protested the establishment of such ROC offices in those countries, but did not suspend its diplomatic relations with them. As these countries have never explicitly expressed their intention to recognize the ROC through the establishment of a ROC official delegation, under international law, no such intention to recognize the ROC can be implied. Moreover, in 1973, when the United States and the PRC established an official liaison office in each other's

^{65.} Chinese Yearbook of International Law and Affairs, Vol. 10 (1990-1991), p. 338.

^{66.} People's Daily, February 26, 1992, p. 1.

^{67. &}quot;Vanuata initials 'equal entity' pact with ROC," Free China Journal, Vol. IX, No. 71 (September 30, 1992), p. 1.

^{68.} There is no full-time Chinese ambassador accredited to Vanuatu; the Chinese ambassador to Fiji is concurrently the ambassador to Vanuatu. See Survey of Chinese Diplomacy, 1991, supra note 39, p. 468.

^{69.} See supra note 17 and accompanying text.

^{70.} While recognition can be either expressed or implied, the latter must leave "no doubt as to the intention to grant it" and "care must be taken not to imply recognition from actions which, although amounting to a limited measure of intercourse, do not necessarily reveal an intention to recognize." Oppenheim's International Law, Vol. I, Peace, Introduc-

capital, neither of them considered that such an act would imply mutual recognition. This may be the reason that the PRC, while filing a protest, did not take action to suspend its relations with those countries which allowed the ROC to establish an official mission there.

The ROC's second approach has been to maintain semi-official relations with some countries that have recognized the PRC. This practice was initiated by Japan in 1972 when it recognized the PRC and severed diplomatic relations with the ROC. Japan has extensive economic, trade and cultural relations with the ROC on Taiwan; therefore, it is essential to maintain all substantive relations between them. To do so, a nominally private organ, the Interchange Association, was established in Tokyo with an office in Taipei. Though funded by the Japanese government and staffed with government officials, it operates as a private entity, although it is in fact an embassy except in name. The Association's office in Kaohsiung is in fact a consulate-general.

The ROC established the nominally private Association of East Asian Relations with an office in Tokyo to replace its former embassy. It has offices in Yokohama, Osaka and Fakuoka, which are consulategenerals except in name. The Association of East Asian Relations and all its offices are funded by the ROC Government and all staff members are government officials. Both the Interchange Association and the Association of East Asian Relations and their staffs enjoy a certain degree of diplomatic privileges and immunities. This Japanese arrangement for maintaining substantive relations with the ROC on Taiwan, after Japan's derecognition of, and severance of diplomatic relations with, the ROC, was later referred to as the "Japanese formula."⁷¹ In 1975, when the Philippines established diplomatic relations with the PRC, it adopted the Japanese formula to maintain its relations with the ROC. It established an Asian Exchange Center (now Manila Economic and Cultural Office) to replace its Taipei embassy, while the ROC established a Pacific Economic and Cultural

tion and Part I, 9th ed. by Robert Jennings and Arthur Watts, London: Longmans, 1992, pp. 169-170.

^{71.} For details, see David Nelson Rowe, Informal "Diplomatic Relations," The Case of Japan and the Republic of China, 1972-1974, Hamden, Connecticut: The Shoe String Press, 1975; and, James William Morley, "The Japanese Formula for Normalization and Its Relevance for U.S.-China Policy," in Hungdah Chiu, ed., Normalizing Relations with the People's Republic of China: Problems, Analysis and Documents, Baltimore: University of Maryland School of Law Occasional Papers/Reprints Series in Contemporary Asian Studies, No. 2-1978 (14), pp. 121-136. In April 1992, the Association of East Asian Relations was renamed as "Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office in Japan." Shih-jie Jih-pao (World Journal), New York, April 28, 1992, p. 8.

Center in Manila (now Taipei Economic and Cultural Office in the Philippines).

After the United States recognized the PRC on January 1, 1979, it continued its relations with the ROC on Taiwan in a way similar to that of Japan. However, U.S. relations with the ROC are much closer than those with Japan. Besides economic, trade and cultural relations, the United States has political and security interests on Taiwan. The United States enacted the Taiwan Relations Act, 72 effective on April 10, 1979, which set up the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT) with offices in Taipei and Kaohsiung as a substitute for its former embassy and Kaohsiung consulate-general in Taiwan. The Institute is funded by Congressional appropriation and staffed with government officials nominally on leave from different government agencies. The ROC replaced its embassy and consulate-generals in the United States with the Coordination Council for North American Affairs (CCNAA), which has offices in Washington, D.C. and different U.S. cities, and is funded by the ROC government and staffed with officials from different government agencies. These organs function like embassies or consulates, except in name. Their offices and staffs enjoy privileges and immunities similar to those of international public organizations such as the United Nations.⁷³

The status of organs set up by the ROC in other countries without diplomatic relations and their counterparts in the ROC varies. But all of them, in fact, enjoy some diplomatic privileges and immunities. Most of them are now using the title "Taipei Economic and Cultural Office."

Many countries without diplomatic relations with the ROC set up offices in Taipei, which, while nominally unofficial, are in fact staffed with government officials authorized to perform certain consular functions, including the issuance of visas. There are at least 37 countries having such offices in the ROC:⁷⁴ Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bolivia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Indonesia, Ireland, Italy, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Nigeria, Norway, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, Oman, Saudi

^{72.} See supra note 14.

^{73.} See "Agreement on Privileges, Exemptions and Immunities between the American Institute in Taiwan and the Coordination Council for North American Affairs, October 2, 1980," in Hungdah Chiu, ed., *Chinese Yearbook of International Law and Affairs*, Vol. 1 (1981), pp. 235-240.

^{74.} See Chinese Yearbook of International Law and Affairs, Vol. 10 (1990-1991), pp. 732-734.

Arabia, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, the United Kingdom and the United States.

V. CONCLUSION OF INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS AND PARTICIPATION IN INTERGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS

Two other problems in the foreign relations of the ROC with countries that do not recognize it are the conclusion of international agreements and participation in international public organizations. Some countries, such as Japan and the United States, have concluded nominally "unofficial" agreements with the ROC. In the United States, pursuant to Section 12(a) of the Taiwan Relations Act, agreements concluded between the AIT and the CCNAA are required to be transmitted to the Congress, just as other international agreements concluded by the United States and countries with which it has diplomatic relations. Moreover, pursuant to sections 6 and 10(a) of this Act, such agreements have full force and effect under the law of the United States. Some countries have begun to follow this practice in view of increased economic and trade relations with the ROC.

The most difficult part of the ROC's foreign relations is its inability to participate in multilateral international conventions concluded under the auspices of the United Nations and its affiliated agencies. For instance, the ROC is the fourteenth leading trading country of the world, but it is unable to become a contracting party to the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods,⁷⁷ done on April 11, 1980, at Vienna and entered into force on January 1, 1988. In a few cases, the ROC has concluded agreements with countries having diplomatic relations with the PRC or unofficial agreements with countries with which it has no diplomatic relations to

^{75.} See Federal Register, Vol. 52, No. 9 (January 14, 1986), p. 1558.

^{76.} E.g., see Sino-German (Federal Republic) Protocol for Science and Technology Cooperation Procedure between Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and National Science Council (NSC), October 28, 1987, in Chinese Yearbook of International Law and Affairs, Vol. 7 (1987-1988), p. 420. In 1991, there were sixteen countries which did not have diplomatic relations with the ROC and which concluded agreements with the ROC: Australia, Brunei Darussalam, Australia, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Indonesia, Japan, Norway, Palau, the Philippines, Russia (former Soviet Union), Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and United Kingdom. For text, see Chinese Yearbook of International Law and Affairs, Vol. 10 (1990-1991), pp. 465-585. The United States alone concluded 26 agreements with the ROC through its AIT and ROC's CCNAA. For text, see Chinese Yearbook of International Law and Affairs, Vol. 10, pp. 586-712.

^{77.} U.N. Doc. A/CONF. 97/18; United Kingdom Command Papers, Miscellaneous Series, Cmnd, No. 8074; International Legal Materials, Vol. 19 (1980), p. 671-695.

adopt an international convention in their bilateral relations.⁷⁸ This, of course, is not a satisfactory solution and this formula can be used only in a limited scope.

Similarly, because of the PRC's boycott, the ROC is unable to participate in many international public organizations. In 1983, Teng Hsiao-p'ing appeared to be willing to reconsider this policy of isolating Taiwan from international public organizations when he told Professor Winston L.Y. Yang of Seton Hall University that after the admission of the PRC to the Asian Development Bank (ADB), Taiwan could retain its seat under "Taipei, China." In March 1986, the PRC was admitted to the ADB without ousting the ROC, though the latter was required to change its name to "Taipei, China."80 The ROC, then under the late President Chiang Ching-kuo, protested this change of its name, but did not withdraw from the ADB. In May 1989, when the ADB held its annual meeting in Beijing, the ROC's new President, Lee Teng-hui, approved the participation of the ROC delegation⁸¹ to the meeting, though still protesting the change of ROC's name. However, the ADB case appears to be an isolated one as the PRC issued a statement on December 19, 1988 indicating its intent to exclude the ROC from participation in all international public organizations affiliated with the United Nations.82

^{78.} E.g., see Exchange of Notes between the Republic of China and the Kingdom of Tonga Concerning Mutual Implementation of the International Convention on Tonnage Measurement of Ships, 1969, July 12, 1982 and Exchange of Letters between the Coordination Council for North American Affairs and the American Institute in Taiwan Concerning Mutual Implementation of the International Convention on Tonnage Measurement of Ships, 1969, May 26, 1983. Text in Ministry of Foreign Affairs, ed., *Treaties between the Republic of China and Foreign States*, Vol. 7 (1982-1985), Taipei: The Commercial Press, Ltd., 1986, pp. 260-261 (Tonga), 294-297 (United States).

^{79.} Li-yu (Winston L.Y. Yang), "Teng Hsiao-ping's New Idea on Peaceful Unification—A Two Hour Talk with Teng Hsiao-p'ing," *Chiu-shih nien-tai* (The Seventies), 1983, No. 8, pp. 17-19.

^{80.} Renmin Ribao (People's Daily), May 2-3, 1986, reprinted in Hsin-hua yueh-pao (New China Monthly), 1986, No. 5, pp. 193-194.

^{81. &}quot;ROC Officials Going to Mainland Meeting of ADB," Free China Journal, Vol. VI, No. 25 (April 10, 1989), p. 1.

^{82.} Renmin Ribao (People's Daily), Overseas edition, December 20, 1988, p. 1. The statement claims, inter alia, that:

Back in 1971, the United Nations General Assembly adopted a resolution which restored the legitimate seat of the People's Republic of China in this world body. Accordingly, the United Nations has expelled Taiwan from all its organizations, and the offices of organizations of the UN system must not have any dealings with Taiwan. This principle also applies to other inter-governmental, international organizations. As for individual intergovernmental, international organizations, for instance, the Asian Development Bank, the Taiwan authorities are allowed to join it in the name of "Taipei, China", subject to agreement

Recently, the PRC again appears to have changed its policy, apparently due to international pressure. Both the PRC and the ROC wanted to join the Asian-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum and the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT). The United States and other countries supported both countries' joining. Both are now members of the APEC, though the ROC used the name "Chinese Taipei." 83

With respect to membership to the GATT, the applications of both the PRC and the ROC are now under the consideration of the GATT. The ROC's application used the name "Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu." The PRC does not oppose the ROC's membership in the GATT, though it insisted that the ROC should use the name "Chinese Taipei." At present, both are observers at the GATT, and it is likely that both will become members of the GATT in the near future.⁸⁴

VI. CONCLUSION

Judging from the principles of international law of recognition, the ROC should be recognized as a state by all countries. However, in reality, this has not been the case. Since, under international law, to grant recognition is primarily a political act, it is unlikely that the United States would re-recognize the ROC. This is because they have international political interests in maintaining friendly relations with the PRC. The PRC is a permanent member of the United Nations Security Council. To formally recognize the ROC would put their relations with the PRC in jeopardy. On the other hand, the United States has been able to maintain friendly relations with both the PRC and the ROC through the Taiwan Relations Act of 1979. If the United States can do so, why not other countries?

reached through consultations between the Chinese Government and the international organization concerned. This is only a kind of special arrangement and cannot be regarded as a model universally applicable to other intergovernmental, international organizations.

Translation from Press Release issued by the PRC Embassy in Washington, D.C., U.S.A., No. 24 (December 20, 1988), p. 2.

83. Susan Yu, "International APEC ministers court ROC's delegation," *Free China Weekly*, Vol. VIII, No. 87 (November 15, 1991), pp. 1, 2. Earlier the ROC joined the Fund for Economic and Social Development of Central America, which is administered by the Central American Bank for Economic Integration. Yu Yen-chun, "Central American Fund Participation Approved," *Free China Journal*, Vol. VIII, No. 68 (September 3, 1991), p. 1.

84. Allen Pun, "ROC on Taiwan granted observer status in GATT," Free China Weekly, Vol. IX, No. 72 (October 2, 1992), p. 1. See also "GATT Statement," ibid.

For Third World countries, most have no strategic or political interests in their relations with the PRC. Nevertheless, there is no reason for them to risk offending the PRC by recognizing the ROC. Be that as it may, if a Third World country which has recognized the PRC considers that closer relations with the ROC would be more beneficial to its development, there is always a possibility that that country can recognize the ROC and disregard any potentially adverse reaction from the PRC. So far, eight countries have decided to do so—Grenada, Liberia, Belize, Lesotho, Guinea-Bissau, Central African Republic, Nicaragua and Niger.

As stated earlier, there are sixteen Third World countries that have diplomatic relations with the PRC but maintain official relations with the ROC. This appears to be an appropriate formula for Third World countries to improve their relations with the ROC, a country whose developmental experience and capability to provide economic and technical assistance would certainly benefit some of those Third World countries.

With respect to participation in international multilateral conventions and international public organizations, as long as the PRC insists on its policy to exclude the ROC, it will not be possible for the ROC to participate in them.

APPENDIX I

REPUBLIC OF CHINA'S DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR MISSIONS ABROAD

Bahamas Embassy of the Republic of China Belize Embassy of the Republic of China

Bolivia Oficina Comercial-Consular de la Republica

de China

Centrafricaine Ambassade de la Republique de Chine Costa Rica Embassy of the Republic of China Dominica Embassy of the Republic of China Dominican Republic Embassy of the Republic of China El Salvador Embassy of the Republic of China Grenada Embassy of the Republic of China Guatemala Embassy of the Republic of China Guinea-Bissau Embassy of the Republic of China Haiti Embassy of the Republic of China Holv See Embassy of the Republic of China Honduras Embassy of the Republic of China

Latvia Consulate-General of the Republic of China

Lesotho

Liberia

Embassy of the Republic of China

Embassy of the Republic of China

Malawi

Embassy of the Republic of China

Nauru

Embassy of the Republic of China

Nicaragua

Embassy of the Republic of China

Consulate-General of the Republic of China,

Colón

Paraguay Embassy of the Republic of China

Consulate-General of the Republic of China,

Eastern City

Saint Christopher Embassy of the Republic of China

and Nevis

Saint Lucia Embassy of the Republic of China St. Vincent and Embassy of the Republic of China

Grenadines

Solomon Islands Embassy of the Republic of China

South Africa	Embassy of the Republic of China Consulate-General of the Republic of China,			
	Cape Town			
	Consulate-General of the Republic of China,			
	Johannesburg			
Swaziland	Embassy of the Republic of China			
Tonga	Embassy of the Republic of China			
Tuvalu	Embassy of the Republic of China			

Note: On September 24, 1992, the ROC and the Republic of Vanuatu recognized each other, but they have not yet exchanged diplomatic missions in each other's capital.

APPENDIX II

REPUBLIC OF CHINA'S OFFICIAL OR SEMI-OFFICIAL MISSIONS ABROAD

Angola* Special Delegation of the Republic of

China

Argentina Oficina Comercial de Taiwan, Capital

Federal

Centro Cultural de Taiwan, Republica de

China, Buernos Aires

Australia Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Australia Australian Capital Territory

(ACT)

Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Melbourne

Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Sydney

Austria Institute of Chinese Culture, Vienna Bahrain* Trade Mission of the Republic of China,

Manama

Belgium Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Bruxelles

Brazil Escritório Econômico e Cultural de Taipei,

Brasil

Escritório Econômico e Cultural de Taipei,

Sao Paulo

Escritório Econômico e Cultural de Taipei,

Rio de Janeiro

Brunei Far East Trade and Cultural Center Canada Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Ottawa

Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Vancouver

Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Toronto

Chile Oficina Económica y Cultural de Taipei,

Santiago

Coresponsal del Sinorama, Santiago

Colombia Oficina Comercial de Taipei, Bogota Czechoslovakia Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Prague

Denmark Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Copenhagen

Far East Trade Office, Copenhagen

Ecuador* Oficina Comercial de la Republica de

China, Quito

Sucursal de la Oficina Comercial de la

Republica de China, Guayaquil

Fiji* Trade Mission of the Republic of China,

Suva

Finland Taipei Trade and Cultural Office, Helsinki

France Association pour la Promotion des

Echanges Commerciaux et Touristiques

avec Taiwan, Paris

Germany Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Leipzig

Taipei Wirtschafts-und Kulturburo,

München

Taipei Wirtschafts-und Kulturburo, Bonn

Taipei Wirtschafts-und Kulturburo,

Hamburg

Taipei Wirtschafts-und Kulturburo, Berlin

Greece Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Athens

Hong Kong Chung Hwa Travel Service Hungary Taipei Trade Office, Budapest

Indonesia Taipei Economic and Trade Office, Jakarta

Ireland Taipei Economic and Cultural Office.

Dublin

Italy Istituto Culturale ed Economico di Taipei,

Roma

Jamaica* Trade Mission of the Republic of China

Japan Taipei Economic and Cultural

Representative Office in Japan, Tokyo Yokohama Branch, Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office in Japan Taipei Economic and Cultural Office in

Osaka

Fukuoka Branch, Taipei Economic and

Cultural Office in Osaka

Jordan* Commercial Office of the Republic of

China (Taiwan), Amman

Kuwait* Commercial Office of the Republic of

China, Hawalli

Libya* Commercial Office of the Republic of

China, Tripoli

Luxembourg Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Luxembourgville

Macao Taipei Trade and Tourism Office, Macao Madagascar* Delegation Speciale de la Republique de

Chine, Antananarivo

Malaysia Taipei Economic and Cultural Center in

Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur

Mauritius* Trade Mission of the Republic of China,

Port Louis

The Netherlands Taipei Economic and Cultural Office, The

Hague

New Zealand Taipei Economic & Cultural Office,

Wellington

Taipei Economic & Cultural Office,

Auckland

Nigeria* The Trade Mission of the Republic of

China, Lagos

Norway Taipei Trade Center, Oslo

Oman Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Muscat

Papua New Guinea* Trade Mission of the Republic of China

on Taiwan, Port Moresby

Peru Oficina Economica y Cultural de Taipei,

Lima

The Philippines Taipei Economic and Cultural Office in

the Philippines, Manila

Poland Taipei Economic and Cultural Offices,

Warsaw

Portugal Taipei Economic and Cultural Center,

Lisbon

Russia Office will be established in the near future

Saudi Arabia Taipei Economic and Cultural

Representative Office in the Kingdom of

Saudi Arabia, Riyadh

Taipei Economic and Cultural

Representative Office in the Kingdom of

Saudi Arabia, Jeddah Office

Singapore Taipei Representative Office in Singapore

Spain Centro Cultural y Economico Taipei,

Madrid

Sweden Taipei Trade Tourism & Information

Office, Stockholm

Switzerland Delegation Culturelle et Economique de

Taipei, Lausanne

Far East Trade Service, Inc., Zurich

Thailand Taipei Economic and Trade Center,

Bangkok

Turkey Taipei Economic and Cultural Office in

Ankara

United Arab Emirates* Commercial Office of the Republic of

China to Dubai

United Kingdom Taipei Representative Office in the U.K.,

London

United States Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in the United States of

America

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in Atlanta

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in Chicago

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in Honolulu

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in Houston

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in Los Angeles

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in Miami

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in New York

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in San Francisco

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in Seattle

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in Boston

Coordination Council for North American

Affairs Office in Guam

Coordination Council for North American Affairs Office in Kansas City, Missouri Uruguay Oficina Economica de Taipei

Venezuela Oficina Económica y Cultural de Taipei,

Caracas

Vietnam Taipei Economic and Cultural Office,

Hanoi

Taipei Economic and Cultural Office, Ho

Chi Minh City

Zaire* Delegation de la Republique de Chine,

Kinshasa

^{*}Official missions entitled with the Republic of China.

APPENDIX III

FOREIGN DIPLOMATIC/CONSULAR MISSIONS IN THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Embassies

Apostolic Nunciature (Holy See)

Belize

Central African Republic

Republic of Costa Rica*

Dominican Republic*

Republic of El Salvador*

Republic of Guatemala*

Republic of Guinea-Bissau

Republic of Haiti

Republic of Honduras

Republic of Nicaragua

Republic of Panama*

Republic of Paraguay

Republic of South Africa

Consulate

Republic of Nauru

Honorary Consulate-General

Kingdom of Swaziland

Kingdom of Tonga

Commonwealth of Dominica

Republic of Honduras

Honorary Consulates

Commonwealth of the Bahamas

Belize

Republic of Costa Rica

Grenada

Republic of Guinea-Bissau

Republic of Malawi

Republic of Nicaragua

Republic of Panama

Republic of Côte d'Ivoire

Republic of Saint Lucia

^{*}With consular section.

APPENDIX IV

FOREIGN SEMI-OFFICIAL OR UNOFFICIAL MISSIONS IN THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA

	· ·	
Area	Name of Organization	Whether Receiving Visa Application
(1) Asia	Indonesian Chamber of Commerce to Taipei	Yes
	(Chinese/Japanese) Interchange Association, Taipei Office	Yes, transfer application to Japanese Consulate in Hong Kong for processing
	(Chinese/Japanese) Interchange Association, Kaohsiung Office	Same
	The Jordanian Commercial Office	Yes, also handling visa application for other countries in Middle East except Saudi Arabia
	Malaysian Friendship and Trade Center	Yes
	Manila (the Philippines) Economic and Cultural Office	Yes
	Oman Commercial Office, Taipei	Yes
	Saudi Arabian Trade Office	Yes
	Singapore Trade Office in Taipei	Yes
	Thailand Economic & Cultural Office Thai Airways International, Ltd. Trade Office	Yes

(2) Europe	Anglo-Taiwan Trade	Yes
(2) Europe	Committee Committee	163
	Austrian Trade Delegation, Taipei Office	Yes
	Belgian Trade Association, Taipei	Yes
	Danish Trade Organization, Taipei Office	Yes
	Office of Finnish Industry & Transport	Yes
	France Asia Trade Promotion Association	Accept commercial visa application
	Institut Français à Taipei	Accept student visa application
	The Mission of the Republic of Latvia	Yes
	German Trade Office, Taipei	Yes, accept commercial/tourist visa application
	German Cultural Center	Yes, accept student visa application
	Office of Representative A.H. Hellenic Organization for the Promotion of Exports (Greece)	No
	The Institute for Trade and Investment of Ireland	No
	Italian Trade Promotion Office	No
	Netherlands Trade and Investment Office	Yes
	The Norway Trade Office	No

	Moscow-Taipei Economic and Cultural Coordination Council (office to be established)	unclear
	Spanish Chamber of Commerce	Yes, accept tourist/ commercial visa application
	Trade Office of Swiss Industries	Yes, accept commercial visa application
	Exportradet Taipei, Swedish Trade Council	Yes, accept commercial visa application
(3) North America	American Institute in Taiwan, Taipei Office	Yes, transfer application to American Consulate in Hong Kong for processing
	American Institute in Taiwan, Kaohsiung Office	Same
	Canadian Trade Office in Taipei	Yes, transfer application to Canadian office in Hong Kong for processing
(4) Central and South America	Argentina Trade Office	Yes
	Bolivian Commercial and Financial Representation	Yes
	Brazil Business Center	Yes
	Chilean Trade Office, Taipei	Yes
	Jamaica Commercial and Trade Office	Yes
	Mexican Trade Office, Taipei	Yes

(5) Africa	The Mauritius Export Development & Investment Authority, Taipei Office	
	Nigeria Trade Office in Taiwan	Yes
(6) Oceania	The Australian Commerce and Industry Office	Yes
	The New Zealand Commerce and Industry Office	Yes
	Office of Honorary Representative of Papua New Guinea	Yes

		,	
		·	

Occasional Papers/Reprints Series in Contemporary Asian Studies

500 West Baltimore Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201 U.S.A. (410) 706-3870

(For back issues new prices effective from October 1, 1991)

1977 Series

	1777 Berres	
No. 1 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-00-6
Chinese Attitude Toward Delimiting Seabed in	l Continental Shelf and Southeast Asia (Hungdah	
No. 2 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-01-4
Income Distribution in the of China (Yuan-Li W		rowth of the Republic \$3.00
No. 3 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-02-2
The Indonesian Maoists: Kroef) 31 pp.	Doctrines and Perspective	es (Justus M. van der \$3.00
No. 4 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-03-0
Taiwan's Foreign Policy i Viability (Thomas J. l		tudy Adaptation and \$3.00
No. 5 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-04-9
Asian Political Scientists Problems (Edited by	in North America: Pro Chun-tu Hsueh) 148 pp. l	
No. 6 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-05-7
The Sino-Japanese Fisheri Other North Pacific F	ies Agreement of 1975: Fisheries Agreements (Son	
No. 7 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-06-5

Foreign Trade Contracts Between West German Companies and the

People's Republic of China: A Case Study (Robert Heuser) 22 pp. \$3.00

No.	8 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-07-3
		Punishment in China, v (Randle Edwards, Translation Hungdah Chiu) 67 pp.	
No.	9 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-08-1
	Chinese Arts and Literature: lim Yip) 126 pp.	A Survey of Recent Trends	(Edited by Wai- \$5.00
No.	10 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-09-X
	Proceedings of a Regio	ublic of China Trade and nal Conference of the Amer ed by Hungdah Chiu and Da	ican Society of
No.	11 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-10-3
	Asian American Assembly I Relations 62 pp.	Position Paper: I. A Review	v of U.S. China \$3.00
No.	12 - 1977	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-11-1
	Asian American Assembly Employment Policy 24		Review of U.S. \$3.00
		1978 Series	
No.	1 - 1978 (13)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-12-X
	Indian Ocean Politics: An A	sian-African Perspective (K.1	P. Misra) 31 pp. \$3.00
No.	2 - 1978 (14)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-13-8
	Analysis, and Docume contribution by G. J.	the People's Republic of Chenents (Edited by Hungda Sigur, Robert A. Scalapino, chael Y.M. Kau, James C. Helex	h Chiu, with King C. Chen,
No.	3 - 1978 (15)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-14-6
		ocial Change: Essays on the ted by Yuan-li Wu and Kung	
No.	4 - 1978 (16)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-15-4
	The Societal Objectives of Taiwan (Jan S. Prybyla)	Wealth, Growth, Stability, 31 pp.	and Equity in \$3.00

No. 5 - 1978 (17)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-16-2
The Role of Law in the Peop Tung's Influence (Shao	ple's Republic of China as Refi -chuan Leng) 18 pp.	ecting Mao Tse- \$3.00
No. 6 - 1978 (18)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-17-0
Criminal Punishment in M Province Documents (I	Iainland China: A Study of Hungdah Chiu) 35 pp.	f Some Yunnan \$3.00
No. 7 - 1978 (19)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-18-9
A Guide to the Study of Ja Tomatsu) 45 pp.	panese Law (Lawrence W. Be	er and Hidenori \$4.00
No. 8 - 1978 (20)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-19-7
The Pueblo, EC-121, and I Changes (Robert Simm	Mayaguez Incidents: Some (nons) 40 pp.	Continuities and \$4.00
No. 9 - 1978 (21)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-20-0
Two Korea's Unification P Index	olicy and Strategy (Yong So	on Yim) 82 pp. \$4.00
	1979 Series	
No. 1 - 1979 (22)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-21-9
Asian Immigrants and Thei 54 pp.	r Status in the U.S. (Edited by	Hungdah Chiu) \$4.00
No. 2 - 1979 (23)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-22-7
	After the 1976 Earthquake ents (Hungdah Chiu) 20 pp.	Revealed by a \$4.00
No. 3 - 1979 (24)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-23-5
	 A Study of U.SPeople's R Transport (Jack C. Young) 65 	
No. 4 - 1979 (25)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-24-3
Chinese Women Writers To 108 pp.	day (Edited by Wai-lim Yip a	nd William Tay) \$5.00
No. 5 - 1979 (26)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-25-1
Certain Legal Aspects of (Hungdah Chiu) 49 pp	Recognizing the People's Re	public of China \$4.00
No. 6 - 1979 (27)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-26-X
	Foreign Firms: The Poli (Thomas N. Thompson) 80 p	

No. 7 - 1979 (28)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-27-8	
	ment with Asian Countries: Hungdah Chiu) 130 pp. Index		
No. 8 - 1979 (29)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-28-6	
China's Foreign Aid in 197	8 (John F. Copper) 45 pp.	\$4.00	
	1980 Series		
No. 1 - 1980 (30)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-29-4	
The Chinese Connection a and Karen Murphy) 20	nd Normalization (Edited by 00 pp. Index	Hungdah Chiu \$7.00	
No. 2 - 1980 (31)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-30-8	
The Conceptual Foundatio (James C. Hsiung) 17	ns of U.S. China Policy: A pp.	Critical Review \$3.00	
No. 3 - 1980 (32)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-31-6	
	e Nuclear Proliferation Treaty: pects (Joanne Finegan) 61 pp.	U.S. Strategies \$4.00	
No. 4 - 1980 (33)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-32-4	
A Comparative Study of Judicial Review Under Nationalist Chinese and American Constitutional Law (Jyh-pin Fa) 200 pp. Index \$6.00			
No. 5 - 1980 (34)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-33-2	
Certain Problems in Recent (Hungdah Chiu) 34 pp	Law Reform in the People's Ro	epublic of China \$4.00	
No. 6 - 1980 (35)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-34-0	
China's New Criminal & Criminal Procedure Codes (Hungdah Chiu) 16 pp. \$3.00			
No. 7 - 1980 (36)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-35-9	
China's Foreign Relations: Selected Studies (Edited by F. Gilbert Chan & Ka-che Yip) 115 pp. (out of print) \$5.00			
No. 8 - 1980 (37)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-36-7	
Annual Review of Selected 1980) (Edited by John	Books on Contemporary Asias F. Copper) 45 pp.	n Studies (1979- \$4.00	
1981 Series			
No. 1 - 1981 (38)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-37-5	
Structural Changes in the O Justice System (Hungd	rganization and Operation of C ah Chiu) 31 pp.	China's Criminal \$3.00	

No. 2 - 1981 (39)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-38-3	
Readjustment and Refor	m in the Chinese Economy (Ja	an S. Prybyla) 58 pp. \$3.00	
No. 3 - 1981 (40)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-39-1	
Symposium on the Tria (Edited by James C	l of Gang of Four and Its II . Hsiung) 118 pp.	mplication in China \$5.00	
No. 4 - 1981 (41)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-40-5	
China and the Law of the	ne Sea Conference (Hungdah	Chiu) 30 pp. \$4.00	
No. 5 - 1981 (42)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-41-3	
China's Foreign Aid in	1979-80 (John Franklin Copp	er) 54 pp. \$4.00	
No. 6 - 1981 (43)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-42-1	
Chinese Regionalism: Y	esterday and Today (Franz M	Michael) 35 pp. \$4.00	
No. 7 - 1981 (44)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-43-X	
	t-Mao China (Parris H. Chan rder No. 2 - 1983 (55) for a re		
No. 8 - 1981 (45)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-44-8	
Proceedings of Conference on Multi-system Nations and International Law: International Status of Germany, Korea, and China (Edited by Hungdah Chiu and Robert Downen) 203 pp. Index \$8.00			
	1982 Series		
No. 1 - 1982 (46)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-45-6	
Socialist Legalism: Reform and Continuity in Post-Mao People's Republic of China (Hungdah Chiu) 35 pp. \$4.00			
No. 2 - 1982 (47)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-46-4	
Kampuchea, The Endles	ss Tug of War (Justus M. Van	n der Kroef) 51 pp. \$4.00	
No. 3 - 1982 (48)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-47-2	
Social Change on Mainla 55 pp. (out of print	and China and Taiwan, 1949-1)	980 (Alan P.L. Liu) \$5.00	
No. 4 - 1982 (49)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-48-0	
	Inited States Policy: Executiv 979 (Michael S. Frost) 39 pp		
No. 5 - 1982 (50)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-49-9	
Constitutional Revolut (Lawrence W. Beer	ion in Japanese Law, So) 35 pp.	ciety and Politics \$4.00	

•

	oks on Contemporary Asia alem, Roy Werner and Lyu		
No. 7 - 1982 (52)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-51-0	
Chinese Law and Justice pp.	e: Trends Over Three Decad	les (Hungdah Chiu) 39 \$4.00	
No. 8 - 1982 (53)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-52-9	
Disarmament and Civili (Theodore McNelly	ian Control in Japan: A Co 1) 16 pp.	onstitutional Dilemma \$4.00	
	1983 Series		
No. 1 - 1983 (54)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-53-7	
	and the Economic Developm James Gregor) 60 pp.	nent of Taiwan (Maria \$3.00	
No. 2 - 1983 (55)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-54-5	
Elite Conflict in the Pos (Parris H. Chang)	t-Mao China (Revised version 48 pp.	on of No. 7-1981 (44)) \$3.00	
No. 3 - 1983 (56)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-55-3	
Media-Coverage on Tai Rudolph) 77 pp.	wan in The People's Repub	olic of China (Jörg-M. \$4.00	
No. 4 - 1983 (57)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-56-1	
	nree Asian Land-locked Co fartin Ira Glassner) 55 pp.	untries: Afghanistan, \$3.00	
No. 5 - 1983 (58)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-57-X	
China's War Against Vietnam: A Military Analysis (King C. Chen) 33 pp. \$3.00			
No. 6 - 1983 (59)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-58-8	
The People's Republic of China, International Law and Arms Control (David Salem) 325 pp. Index (Hardcover edition published in Maryland Studies in East Asian Law and Politics Series, No. 3, ISBN 0-942182-59-6) \$15.00			
1984 Series			
No. 1 - 1984 (60)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-60-X	
	An Overall View (Shao-ch	nuan Leng) 18 pp. \$3.00	

No. 6 - 1982 (51) ISSN 0730-0107 ISBN 0-942182-50-2

No. 2 - 1984 (61)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-61-8
	China: Party Powers and the Twelfth Party Congres	
No. 3 - 1984 (62)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-62-6
Legal Problems of Seaber (Ying-jeou Ma) 308 (Hardcover edition published Politics Series, No. 4 ISBN 0-	in Maryland Studies in	\$10.00
No. 4 - 1984 (63)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-64-2
	anese Defense Policy: Viiet Members (Steven Kent	
No. 5 - 1984 (64)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-65-0 (Hardcover) 0-942182-66-9
	itical Development and D John F. Copper with Geo (Hardcover	
No. 6 - 1984 (65)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-67-7
	News in the Propaganda S Jörg-Meinhard Rudolph) 1	
	1985 Series	
No. 1 - 1985 (66)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-68-5
	the Economic and Social Alan P. L. Liu) 22 pp.	Development in the \$3.00
No. 2 - 1985 (67)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-69-3
	Criminal Responsibility of China, 1949-1982 (Carlo	
No. 3 - 1985 (68)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-70-7
Symposium on Hong Ko Index	ong: 1997 (Edited by Hu	ngdah Chiu), 100 pp. \$4.00
No. 4 - 1985 (69)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-71-5
The 1982 Chinese Consti	tution and the Rule of Lav	w (Hungdah Chiu), 18 \$3.00
No. 5 - 1985 (70)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-72-3
Peking's Negotiating Sty (Jaw-Ling Joanne C	rle: A Case study of U.S hang), 22 pp.	SPRC Normalization \$3.00

No.	6 - 1985 (71)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-94218	2-73-1
	China's Marine Environmental Protection Law: Murky Waters (Mitchell A. Silk), 32 pp.		The Dragon Creeping in \$3.00	
		1986 Series		

No. 1 - 1986 (72) ISSN 0730-0107 ISBN 0-942182-74-X

From Tradition to Modernity: A Socio-Historical Interpretation on China's Struggle toward Modernization Since the Mid-19th Century (Wen-hui Tsai), 76 pp. \$4.00

No. 2 - 1986 (73)

Peace and Unification in Korea and International Law (Byung-Hwa Lyou), 205 pp. Index.

\$8.00

No. 3 - 1986 (74) ISSN 0730-0107 ISBN 0-942182-76-6

The Hong Kong Agreement and American Foreign Policy (Hungdah Chiu), 18 pp. \$3.00

No. 4 - 1986 (75)

ISSN 0730-0107

ISBN 0-942182-77-4

United States-China Normalization: An Evaluation of Foreign Policy
Decision Making (Jaw-ling Joanne Chang), copublished with
Monograph Series in World Affairs, University of Denver, 246 pp.
Index.

\$8.00

(Hardcover edition published in Maryland Studies in East Asian Law and Politics
Series, No. 7. ISBN 0-942182-78-2)

\$12.00

No. 5 - 1986 (76) ISSN 0730-0107 ISBN 0-942182-79-0

Communications and China's National Integration: An Analysis of
People's Daily and Central Daily on the China Reunification Issue
(Shuhua Chang), 205 pp. \$8.00

No. 6 - 1986 (77) ISSN 0730-0107 ISBN 0-942182-80-4 Since Aquino: The Philippine Tangle and the United States (Justus M. van der Kroef), 73 pp. \$3.00

1987 Series

No. 1 - 1987 (78) ISSN 0730-0107 ISBN 0-942182-81-2

An Analysis of the U.S.-China Nuclear Energy Cooperation Agreement
(Benjamin Chin), 40 pp. \$3.00

ISSN 0730-0107

No. 2 - 1987 (79)

Survey of Recent Developments in China (Mainland and Taiwan), 1985-1986 (edited by Hungdah Chiu, with the assistance of Jaw-ling Joanne Chang), 222 pp. Index \$8.00

ISBN 0-942182-82-0

	Democratizing Transition in Nathan), 24 pp.	in Taiwan (Yangsun Chou	and Andrew J. \$3.00		
No.	4 - 1987 (81)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-84-7		
	The Legal Status of the Chi 25 pp.	nese Communist Party (Robe	ert Heuser), \$3.00		
No.	5 - 1987 (82)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-85-5		
		ated Contract Laws of Main ve Analysis (Clyde D. Stolter			
No.	6 - 1987 (83)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-86-3		
		n Rights in the People's Repe and John F. Copper), 150			
		1988 Series			
No.	1 - 1988 (84)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-87-1		
	Chinese Attitudes Toward I 1987 (Hungdah Chiu),	nternational Law in the Post 41 pp.	-Mao Era, 1978- \$3.00		
No.	2 - 1988 (85)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-88-X		
	Chinese Views on the Sou 20 pp.	rces of International Law (I	Hungdah Chiu), \$3.00		
No.	3 - 1988 (86)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-89-8		
	People's Republic of China Cohen), 103 pp.	a: The Human Rights Exc	eption (Roberta \$5.00		
No.	4 - 1988 (87)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-90-1		
	Settlement of the Macao Issue: Distinctive Features of Beijing's Negotiating Behavior (with text of 1887 Protocol and 1987 Declaration) (Jaw-ling Joanne Chang), 37 pp. \$3.00				
No.	5 - 1988 (88)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-91-X		
	The Draft Basic Law of Hor Hungdah Chiu), 153 pp	ng Kong: Analysis and Docu p.	ments (edited by \$5.00		
No.	6 - 1988 (89)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-942182-92-8		
	Constitutionalism in Asia: by Lawrence W. Beer),	Asian Views of the American 210 pp.	Influence (edited \$10.00		

No. 3 - 1987 (80) ISSN 0730-0107 ISBN 0-942182-83-9

1989 Series

No. 1 - 1989 (90)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-00-1	
The Right to a Crimin (Margaret Y.K. Woo	al Appeal in the People' o), 43 pp.	s Republic of China \$3.00	
No. 2 - 1989 (91)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-01-X	
	y International Law, Trea official Agreements in Ch		
No. 3 - 1989 (92)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-02-8	
	e Step Back, Human Ri 1987/88 (John F. Cooper		
No. 4 - 1989 (93)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-03-6	
Tibet: Past and Present	(Hungdah Chiu and June	Teufel Dreyer), 25 pp. \$3.00	
No. 5 - 1989 (94)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-04-4	
Chinese Attitude Toward Mao Era (Hungdah	International Law of Hum Chiu), 38 pp.	nan Rights in the Post- \$4.00	
No. 6 - 1989 (95)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-05-2	
Tibet to Tiananmen: Ch Policy (W. Gary Va	ninese Human Rights and use), 47 pp.	United States Foreign \$4.00	
	1990 Series		
No. 1 - 1990 (96)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-06-0	
The International Legal Status of the Republic of China (Hungdah Chiu), 20 pp. (Out of print, please order No. 5-1992 (112) for a revised version of this issue) \$3.00			
No. 2 - 1990 (97)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-07-9	
Tiananmen: China's Struggle for Democracy—Its Prelude, Development, Aftermath, and Impact (Winston L. Y. Yang and Marsha L. Wagner), 314 pp. Index \$8.00 (Hardcover edition published in Maryland Studies in East Asian Law and Politics Series, No. 11, ISBN 0-925153-08-7) \$14.00			
No. 3 - 1990 (98)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-09-5	
Nationality and Interna Chiu), 37 pp.	tional Law in Chinese l	Perspective (Hungdah \$4.00	

No. 4 - 1990 (99)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-10-9		
The Taiwan Relations Act After Ten Years (Lori Fisler Damrosch), 27 pp. \$3.00				
No. 5 - 1990 (100)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-11-7		
The Taiwan Relations Act a 34 pp.	nd Sino-American Relations (Hungdah Chiu), \$4.00		
No. 6 - 1990 (101)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-12-5		
Taiwan's Recent Elections: Copper), 174 pp. Index	Fulfilling the Democratic P	romise (John F. \$8.00		
	1991 Series			
No. 1 - 1991 (102)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-13-3		
Legal Aspects of Investment and Trade with the Republic of China (Edited by John T. McDermott, with contributions by Linda F. Powers, Ronald A. Case, Chung-Teh Lee, Jeffrey H. Chen, Cheryl M. Friedman, Hungdah Chiu, K.C. Fan and Douglas T. Hung), 94 pp. \$6.00				
No. 2 - 1991 (103)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-14-1		
	ment: Human Rights in the P ling Lee and John F. Copper)			
No. 3 - 1991 (104)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-15-X		
Freedom of Expression: 7 Culture (Lawrence W.	The Continuing Revolution in Beer), 31 pp.	n Japan's Legal \$5.00		
No. 4 - 1991 (105)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-16-8		
The 1989 US-Republic of China (Taiwan) Fisheries Negotiations (Mark Mon-Chang Hsieh), 84 pp. \$6.00				
No. 5 - 1991 (106)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-17-6		
Politics of Divided Nations: China, Korea, Germany and Vietnam— Unification, Conflict Resolution and Political Development (Edited by Quansheng Zhao and Robert Sutter), 198 pp. Index \$12.00				
No. 6 - 1991 (107)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-18-4		
Lawyers in China: The Pa 49 pp.	st Decade and Beyond (Time	othy A. Gelatt), \$5.00		
1992 Series				
No. 1 - 1992 (108)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-19-2		
Judicial Review of Administration in the People's Republic of China (Jyhpin Fa & Shao-chuan Leng), 37 pp. \$5.00				

		State Security: Coming of Age Eftimiades), 24 pp.	in the International \$4.00
No.	3 - 1992 (110)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-21-4
	Libel Law and the Propp.	ess in South Korea: An Update	(Kyu Ho Youm), 23 \$5.00
No.	4 - 1992 (111)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-22-2
	Tiananmen Aftermath: Human Rights in the People's Republic of Chin 1990 (John F. Copper and Ta-ling Lee), 133 pp. Index		
No.	5 - 1992 (112)	ISSN 0730-0107	ISBN 0-925153-23-0

The International Legal Status of the Republic of China (Revised version of No. 1-1990 (96)) (Hungdah Chiu), 37 pp.

ISSN 0730-0107

ISBN 0-925153-20-6

\$4.00

No. 2 - 1992 (109)

MARYLAND STUDIES IN EAST ASIAN LAW AND POLITICS SERIES

(The following books are published under the auspices or coauspices of the East Asian Legal Studies Program of the University of Maryland School of Law. The views expressed in each book reflect only those of the author. All books published in hard cover edition, unless otherwise indicated.)

- Hungdah Chiu, China and the Taiwan Issue. New York: Praeger Publishers, A Division of Holt, Rinehart and Winston/CBS, Inc., 1979.
 295 pp. (Now distributed by the Greenwood Press)
 ISBN No.: 0-03-048911-3
- Hungdah Chiu, Agreements of the People's Republic of China, 1966-1980:
 A Calendar. New York: Praeger Publishers, A Division of Holt, Rinehart and Winston/CBS, Inc., 1981. 329 pp. (Now distributed by the Greenwood Press)
 ISBN No.: 0-03-059443-X
 \$49.95
- David Salem, The People's Republic of China, International Law and Arms Control. Baltimore, Maryland: University of Maryland School of Law OPRSCAS*, 1983. 325 pp. ISBN No.: 0-942182-59-6
- 4. Ying-jeou Ma, Legal Problems of Seabed Boundary Delimitation in the East China Sea. Baltimore, Maryland: University of Maryland School of Law OPRSCAS, 1984. 308 pp. ISBN No.: 0-942182-63-4 \$15.00
- Hungdah Chiu and Shao-chuan Leng, editors, China: 70 Years After the 1911 Hsin-hai Revolution. Charlottesville, Virginia: University Press of Virginia, 1984. 600 pp.
 (Published under the co-auspices of the Committee on Asian Studies, University of Virginia.)
 ISBN No.: 0-8138-1027-7 \$35.00
- 6. Shao-chuan Leng and Hungdah Chiu, Criminal Justice in Post-Mao China. Albany, New York: State University of New York Press, 1985. 325 pp.

(Published under the co-auspices of Committee on Asian Studies, University of Virginia.)

ISBN No. 0-87395-950-7 (hardcover) \$59.50 ISBN No. 0-87395-948-5 (paperback) \$19.50

^{*} Occasional Papers/Reprints Series in Contemporary Asian Studies, Inc., 500 West Baltimore St., Baltimore, Maryland 21201. (Tel. (410) 706-3870).

- Jaw-ling Joanne Chang, United States-China Normalization: An Evaluation of Foreign Policy Decision Making. Baltimore: University of Maryland School of Law OPRSCAS, 1986. 246 pp. (Copublished with Monograph Series in World Affairs, University of Denver) ISBN No. 0-942182-78-2 \$12.00
- 8. Lester Ross and Mitchell A. Silk, *Environmental Law and Policy in China*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press (Quorum Books), 1987. 449 pp. \$75.00
- 9. Hungdah Chiu, Y.C. Jao and Yuan-li Wu, editors, *The Future of Hong Kong: Toward 1997 and Beyond*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press (Quorum Books), 1987. 262 pp. \$55.00
- Ray S. Cline and Hungdah Chiu, eds., The United States and Constitutionalism in China. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Global Strategy Council, 1988. Distributed by OPRSCAS*, 166 pp. Paper. \$8.00.
- 11. Winston L.Y. Yang and Marsha L. Wagner, eds., *Tiananmen: China's Struggle for Democracy—Its Prelude, Development, Aftermath, and Impact*. Baltimore, Maryland: University of Maryland School of Law OPRSCAS, 1990. 314 pp. Index \$14.00.

		ORDER	FORM	244884444683388	
ORDER FORM To Occasional Papers/Reprints Series in Contemporary Asian Studies, University of Maryland School of Law, 500 West Baltimore Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, U.S.A.					
Ch	eck One:				
	Please Send: No.	Author	Title	Copies	
	Please start my	subscription of the	OPRSCAS: Starting i	ssue	
	Subscription price is U.S. \$22.00 for 6 issues in the U.S. and \$28.00 for Canada or overseas (regardless of the price of individual issues).				
	My check of U.S. \$ is enclosed copy(s) of invoice/receipt required. (Institution/library may request billing before making payment) (Make check payable to OPRSCAS) (Please add postage/handling of \$2.00 for one copy and \$1.00 for each additional copy.) Please send book to: Name/Corp./Library:				
	Address: (Please	e include zip code)			